

USING RELATIVE HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA IN HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY

Pablo S. Ocal

Texas A&M University

March 4, 2018

Outline

- 1 Preliminary definitions
- 2 Hochschild Cohomology
- 3 Relative Homological Algebra
- 4 Related research

Algebras over a ring

Definition

Let k be an associative commutative ring. We say that A is a k algebra if it is a k module, and a ring where the product $\mu : A \times A \rightarrow A$ is bilinear.

Definition

Let A be a k algebra. We define A^{op} the *opposite algebra* of A as the vector space A with multiplication $\mu_{op} : A \times A \rightarrow A$ given by:

$$\mu_{op}(a, b) = \mu(b, a) \text{ for all } a, b \in A.$$

Definition

Let A be a k algebra. We define A^e the *enveloping algebra* of A as the vector space $A \otimes A^{op}$ with multiplication $\mu^e : A^e \times A^e \rightarrow A^e$ given by:

$$\mu^e((a_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2)) = a_1 a_2 \otimes b_2 b_1 \text{ for all } a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A.$$

Modules and bimodules over an algebra

Remark

There is a one to one correspondence between the bimodules M over a k algebra A and the (right or left) modules M over A^e .

Note that A is an A^e module under:

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot c = acb \text{ for all } a, b, c \in A.$$

More generally, $A^{\otimes n} = A \otimes \cdots \otimes A$ is an A^e module under:

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (c_1 \otimes c_2 \cdots \otimes c_{n-1} \otimes c_n) = ac_1 \otimes c_2 \cdots \otimes c_{n-1} \otimes c_n b$$

for all $a, b, c_1, \dots, c_n \in A$.

The Bar sequence

Consider the sequence of A bimodules:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d_3} A^{\otimes 4} \xrightarrow{d_2} A^{\otimes 3} \xrightarrow{d_1} A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mu} A \longrightarrow 0$$

with:

$$d_n(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}$$

for all $a_0, \dots, a_{n+1} \in A$. This is a complex.

The Bar resolution

The bar complex has a contracting homotopy $s_n : A^{\otimes(n+2)} \longrightarrow A^{\otimes(n+3)}$:

$$s_n(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}) = 1 \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}$$

for all $a_0, \dots, a_{n+1} \in A$.

Definition

Let A be a k algebra. We define the *bar complex of A* as the truncated complex:

$$B(A) : \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{d_3} A^{\otimes 4} \xrightarrow{d_2} A^{\otimes 3} \xrightarrow{d_1} A \otimes A \longrightarrow 0$$

and write $B_n(A) = A^{\otimes(n+2)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Towards Hochschild Cohomology

Let M an A^e module, consider the complex $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(B(A), M)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(A \otimes A, M) \xrightarrow{d_1^*} \text{Hom}_{A^e}(A^{\otimes 3}, M) \xrightarrow{d_2^*} \text{Hom}_{A^e}(A^{\otimes 4}, M) \xrightarrow{d_3^*} \dots$$

we have an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(B_n(A), M) \cong \text{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes n}, M)$ yielding a complex $\text{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes \bullet}, M)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(k, M) \xrightarrow{\delta_1^*} \text{Hom}_k(A, M) \xrightarrow{\delta_2^*} \text{Hom}_k(A \otimes A, M) \xrightarrow{\delta_3^*} \dots$$

Hochschild Cohomology

Definition

The *Hochschild cohomology* of A with coefficients in an A bimodule M is the cohomology of $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(B(A), M)$, equivalently:

$$HH^n(A, M) = H^n(\mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes \bullet}, M)) = \mathrm{Ker}(\delta_{n+1}^*)/\mathrm{Im}(\delta_n^*)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

This construction reminds of derived functors, particularly Ext .

Relative exact sequences

Definition

Let R be a ring with identity having S as a subring containing the identity. An exact sequence of R modules:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow C_i \xrightarrow{t_i} C_{i-1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is called (R, S) exact if $\text{Ker}(t_i)$ is a direct summand of C_i as an S module.

Since we are in an abelian category, this is equivalent to the sequence splitting, and to the existence of an S homotopy (hence the sequence is exact as S modules).

Relative projective modules

Definition

An R module P is said to be (R, S) *projective* if, for every (R, S) exact sequence $M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$ and every R homomorphism $h : P \rightarrow N$, there is an R homomorphism $h' : P \rightarrow M$ with $gh' = h$.

Definition

An R module P is said to be (R, S) *projective* if, for every exact sequence $M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$ of R modules, every R homomorphism $f : P \rightarrow N$ and every S homomorphism $h : P \rightarrow M$ with $gh = f$, there is an R homomorphism $h' : P \rightarrow M$ with $gh' = f$.

Some lifting properties

Lemma

For every S module N the, R module $R \otimes_S N$ is (R, S) projective.

Proposition

Let V be an (R, S) projective R module and $f : M \rightarrow N$ a homomorphism of right R modules such that

$f \otimes_S 1_V : M \otimes_S V \rightarrow N \otimes_S V$ is a monomorphism. Then

$f \otimes_R 1_V : M \otimes_R V \rightarrow N \otimes_R V$ is a monomorphism.

Relative Comparison Theorem

Theorem

Let M and N be R modules and two chain complexes:

$$P : \quad \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

$$Q : \quad \cdots \longrightarrow Q_1 \longrightarrow Q_0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

such that P_i is (R, S) projective for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and Q_\bullet is (R, S) exact. If $f : M \longrightarrow N$ is a R homomorphism then there exists a chain map $f_\bullet : P_\bullet \longrightarrow Q_\bullet$ lifting it, that is, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \xrightarrow{P} & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f & & \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & Q_1 & \longrightarrow & Q_0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Relative Ext

Let M and N be R modules and:

$$P : \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \longrightarrow 0$$

an (R, S) exact sequence where P_i is (R, S) projective for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ (that is a (R, S) projective resolution). Consider the complex $\text{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, N)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \xrightarrow{d_0^*} \text{Hom}_R(P_0, N) \xrightarrow{d_1^*} \text{Hom}_R(P_1, N) \xrightarrow{d_2^*} \cdots$$

Definition

We define:

$$\text{Ext}_{(R,S)}^n(M, N) = \text{Ker}(d_{n+1}^*) / \text{Im}(d_n^*) \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

$$\text{Ext}_{(R,S)}^0(M, N) = \text{Ker}(d_1^*).$$

Analogous results and recovery of Homological Algebra

In virtue of the Comparison Theorem:

- The $\text{Ext}_{(R,S)}^n(M, N)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are independent from the choice of resolution P_\bullet .
- A pair of R homomorphisms $f : M \rightarrow M'$, $g : N \rightarrow N'$ induce a unique $\phi_{f,g} : \text{Ext}_{(R,S)}^n(M', N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(R,S)}^n(M, N')$ and functoriality.

Remark

- If S is semisimple, meaning that every R exact sequence is (R, S) exact, or
- If R is projective as an S module, then:

$$\text{Ext}_{(R,S)}^n(M, N) = \text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hochschild Cohomology from Relative Homological Algebra

Theorem

Let M an A^e module and consider $k \subset A^e$ as a subring. Then:

$$HH^n(A, M) = \text{Ext}_{(A^e, k)}^n(A, M) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular when k is a field it is Ext .

Future outlook

- How good is relative Hochschild Cohomology?

$$HH_{(A,B)}^n(A, M) = \text{Ext}_{(A^e, B \otimes A^{op})}^n(A, M)$$

- Does it have a Gerstenhaber bracket?

$$[f, g] = f \circ g - (-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)} g \circ f$$

Thank you!

pso@math.tamu.edu