Toward Free Resolutions Over Scrolls

Aleksandra Sobieska – joint with Laura Matusevich October 24, 2019

Texas A&M University

The Minimal Free Resolution

For a commutative (graded) local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and an *R*-module *M*, the *minimal free resolution* of *M* over *R* is the complex

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}: \dots \to F_{i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i}} F_{i-1} \to \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} F_{2} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} F_{1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} F_{0} \to M \to 0$$

where

- the sequence is exact, i.e. $Im(\partial_k) = ker(\partial_{k-1})$
- each of the F_k is a finitely generated free *R*-module, that is, $F_k \cong R^{\beta_k}$ for some β_k
- $\partial_k(F_k) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}F_{k-1}$ (essentially, this makes the β_k as small as possible)

We call the β_k the Betti numbers of M, sometimes written $\beta_k^R(M)$.

FINITE VS. INFINITE CASE

Important results over the polynomial ring $S = k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ include:

Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem [Hilbert, 1890]

If *M* is a finitely generated module over *S*, then there exists a free resolution of *M* that has $F_i = 0$ for i > n.

Resolution Recipes

There are many recipes for *combinatorial* resolutions over S.

For a general ring *R*, we have no such luck.

- Failure of Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem means that our resolution might **not** terminate.
- Our resolution recipes don't usually work either.

In general, infinite free resolutions are not well understood.

QUESTION: For a special enough *R*, can we cobble something together?

Toric Rings

Write $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^d$ (and sometimes for the $d \times n$ matrix with columns a_i), where $d \leq n$ and rank $\mathcal{A} = d$. Define the map

$$\varphi: \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \to \mathbb{k}[t_1, \dots, t_d]$$
$$x_i \mapsto \mathbf{t}^{a_i} = \mathbf{t}_1^{a_{i,1}} \cdots \mathbf{t}_d^{a_{i,c}}$$

So for monomials \mathbf{x}^{u} , $\varphi(\mathbf{x}^{u}) = \mathbf{t}^{\mathcal{A}u}$.

Toric Ideal

The kernel I_A of φ is a prime binomial ideal called the *toric ideal* associated to A. The ideal can be written $I_A = \langle \mathbf{x}^u - \mathbf{x}^v | Au = Av \rangle$.

Toric Ring

The toric ring associated to $\mathcal A$ is

$$R = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{k}[\mathbf{t}^{a_1}, \mathbf{t}^{a_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{t}^{a_n}].$$

Toric Rings

Example

The usual polynomial ring is a special example of a toric ring when $\mathcal{A} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$.

Example

If
$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$$
, then $\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} x_1 \mapsto t_1 \\ x_2 \mapsto t_1 t_2 \\ x_3 \mapsto t_1 t_2^2 \end{array} \right\}$ and $R = \mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2, x_3]/\langle x_1 x_3 - x_2^2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{k}[t_1, t_1 t_2, t_1 t_2^2].$

QUESTION: For a special enough toric ring *R*, can we cobble something together? (Where "cobble something together" means explicitly describe any/a minimal free resolution over *R*.)

The toric rings we will consider are those tied to *rational normal k*-*scrolls*.

Rational Normal k-Scroll

The rational normal k-scroll $S(m_1 - 1, m_2 - 1, ..., m_k - 1)$ is the variety in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} defined by the ideal $I_2(M)$ of 2×2 minors of the $2 \times (n - k)$ matrix M =

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & \dots & x_{1,m_1-1} \\ x_{1,2} & x_{1,3} & \dots & x_{1,m_1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{2,1} & \dots & x_{2,m_2-1} \\ x_{2,2} & \dots & x_{2,m_2} \end{bmatrix} \dots \dots \begin{bmatrix} x_{k,1} & \dots & x_{k,m_k-1} \\ x_{k,2} & \dots & x_{k,m_k} \end{bmatrix}$$
where $\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i = n$.

One can show that $I_2(M)$ defining $S(m_1 - 1, ..., m_k - 1)$ is in fact the toric ideal associated to

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & & & & \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & m_{1} - 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & m_{2} - 1 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & m_{k} - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so $R = S/I_2(M)$ has a wealth of combinatorial structure.

GOAL

Our goal from here on out is to resolve the ground field \Bbbk over R.

RATIONAL NORMAL CURVES

When k = 1, we call S(n - 1) the rational normal curve, a curve in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . In this case,

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_{n-1} \\ x_2 & x_3 & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Betti numbers of k [Peeva-Reiner-Sturmfels (1998), [2]]

The Betti numbers of k are

$$\beta_i^R(\mathbb{k}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = 0\\ n & \text{for } i = 1\\ (n-1)^2(n-2)^{i-2} & \text{for } i \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

Resolution of Monomial Ideals over Rational Normal Curves [Gasharov-Horwitz-Peeva (2008), [1]]

Gives (explicitly) the minimal free resolution over R of the field \mathbb{k} .

Betti Numbers of k [Matusevich-S (2019)]

Let $I_2(M)$ define the rational normal k-scroll $\mathcal{S}(m_1 - 1, ..., m_k - 1)$. If $R = S/I_2(M)$, then

$$\beta_i^R(\mathbb{k}) = \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{k+1}{j} (n-k-1)^{i-j}.$$

In particular, for $r \ge 1$, $\beta_{k+r}^{R}(\mathbb{k}) = (n-k)^{k+1}(n-k-1)^{r-1}$.

THE MINIMAL FREE RESOLUTION OF RATIONAL NORMAL 2-SCROLLS

Resolution of k over 2-Scrolls [Matusevich-S (2019)]

Gives (explicitly) the minimal free resolution over R of the field k.

Example for S(2, 1) $M = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_4 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ so } I_2(M) = \langle x_1x_3 - x_2^2, x_1x_5 - x_2x_4, x_2x_5 - x_3x_4 \rangle.$ x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x1-x2-x4x2 x3 x5 0 0 0 x4 0 0 0 0 -x1-x2-x4 0 0 0 x4 0 0 0 0 0 x1-x2-x4 0 0 0 0 x 0 0 0 0 0 x2 x3 x5 -x1-x2 -0 0 0 0 0 -x1-x2-x4 0 0 -

Thank you!



V. Gasharov, N. Horwitz, and I. Peeva. Hilbert functions over toric rings. Michigan Math. J., 57:339–357, 2008. Special volume in honor of Melvin Hochster.

I. Peeva, V. Reiner, and B. Sturmfels.
 How to shell a monoid.
 Math. Ann., 310(2):379–393, 1998.

Mapping Cone for S(2, 1)

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_4 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Start with the short exact sequence:

$$0 \to \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \cap \langle x_4, x_5 \rangle \to \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \oplus \langle x_4, x_5 \rangle \to \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \rangle \to 0$$

Make resolutions of the first two, lift chain map to a resolution of the third.

PROOF IDEA

R is a Koszul algebra

$$\Rightarrow P_R(t) = 1/H(R; -t) = 1/H(S/\operatorname{in}_{\prec} I_{\mathcal{A}}; -t)$$



Figure 1: Stanley-Reisner complex for S(3,2)

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & | & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & | & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } M = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & | & x_5 & x_6 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & | & x_6 & x_7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Here in $\prec I_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle x_1 x_3, x_1 x_4, x_1 x_6, x_1 x_7, x_2 x_4, x_2 x_6, x_2 x_7, x_3 x_6, x_3 x_7, x_5 x_7 \rangle$.

RESOLUTION FOR S(2,2)



Resolution for $\mathcal{S}(2,2)$

$$\varphi_i = \varphi_{i-1} \bigoplus \varphi_{i-2}^{\oplus 3} \bigoplus \varphi_{i-1}$$