

Representations of $U_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Goal: Look at finite dimensional representations and determine the center of U

- If ζ not root of unity, U behaves like $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ over a field of characteristic 0
- If ζ root of unity, U behaves like $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ over a field of prime characteristic
- For $k = \mathbb{C}$ and ζ a primitive p -th root of unity for $p \geq 3$ prime, we get a representation theory that looks like the representation theory of \mathfrak{sl}_2 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p .

Definition: Let k be a field, fix $\zeta \in k$ with $\zeta \neq 0, \zeta^2 \neq 1$. Then $U_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is the associative unital

algebra over k generated by E, F, K, K^{-1} with relations

$$(R1) \quad KK^{-1} = 1 = K^{-1}K.$$

$$(R2) \quad KEK^{-1} = \zeta^2 E$$

$$(R3) \quad KFK^{-1} = \zeta^{-2} F.$$

$$(R4) \quad EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}}$$

We may abuse $U := U_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Proposition: Suppose that ζ is not a root of unity, let M be a finite dimensional U -module. Then there are integers $r, s > 0$ with $E^r M = 0$ and $F^s M = 0$.

Definition Let M be a U -module, set for all $\lambda \in k, \lambda \neq 0$.

$$M_{\lambda} = \{m \in M \mid Km = \lambda m\}.$$

We call M_{λ} a weight space of M , we call the λ with $M_{\lambda} \neq 0$ weights of M

Proposition: Suppose that ζ is not a root of unity and that $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$, let M be a finite dimensional U -module. Then M is the direct sum of its weight spaces, and all weights of M have the form $\pm \zeta^a$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition For each $\lambda \in k, \lambda \neq 0$, set $M(\lambda) := \frac{U}{(UE + U(K - \lambda))}$.

Remark This is an infinite dimensional U -module with basis m_0, m_1, m_2, \dots satisfying

$$Km_i = \lambda \zeta^{-2i} m_i,$$

$$Fm_i = m_{i+1},$$

$$Em_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i=0, \\ [i] \cdot \frac{\lambda \zeta^{1-i} - \lambda^{-1} \zeta^{i-1}}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} \cdot m_{i-1} & \text{if } i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

It also has the following universal property:

If M is a U -module and $m \in M$ a vector with $Em = 0$ and $Km = \lambda m$, then there is a unique homomorphism of U -modules $\varphi: M(\lambda) \rightarrow M$ with $\varphi(m_0) = m$.

Proposition: Suppose that ζ is not a root of unity, let $\lambda \in k, \lambda \neq 0$.

Infinite dimensional irreducible representations.

a) If $\lambda \neq \pm \zeta^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $M(\lambda)$ is simple.

b) If $\lambda = \pm \zeta^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the m_i with $i \geq n+1$ span a submodule of $M(\lambda)$ isomorphic to $M(\zeta^{-2(n+1)} \lambda)$. This is the only submodule of $M(\lambda)$ different from 0 and $M(\lambda)$

Theorem: Suppose that ζ is not a root of unity. There are for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a simple U -module $L(n, +)$ with basis m_0, m_1, \dots, m_n such that for all $0 \leq i \leq n$:

$$L(n, -) \quad m'_0, m'_1, \dots, m'_n$$

Infinitely many finite

$$K m_i = q^{n-2i} m_i,$$

$$F m_i = \begin{cases} m_{i+1} & \text{if } i < n, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = n, \end{cases}$$

$$E m_i = \begin{cases} [i][n+1-i] m_{i-1} & \text{if } i > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$K m'_i = -q^{n-2i} m'_i,$$

$$F m'_i = \begin{cases} m'_{i+1} & \text{if } i < n, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = n, \end{cases}$$

$$E m'_i = \begin{cases} -[i][n+1-i] m'_{i-1} & \text{if } i > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

dimensional irreducible representations.

Moreover, each simple U -module of dimension $n+1$ is isomorphic to $L(n,+)$ or $L(n,-)$.

Definition. Set: $C = FE + \frac{Kq + K^{-1}q^{-1}}{(q - q^{-1})^2}$

This is called a Casimir element of U

Philosophy: The Casimir element will commute with all elements in U , and it will act on U -modules by the usual module action. By Schur's Lemma, in any irreducible representation of U this Casimir element will act proportionally to the identity. This constant of proportionality can be used to classify representations of U (we may need $k = \mathbb{C}$)

Theorem: (Schur's Lemma) Given M, N two simple modules over a ring R , then any homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ of R -modules is either invertible or zero

Lemma:

a) The element C is central in U .

b) C acts on each $M(\lambda)$ as a scalar multiplication by $\frac{\lambda q + \lambda^{-1} q^{-1}}{(q - q^{-1})^2}$.

c) C acts on $M(\lambda)$ and $M(\mu)$ by the same scalar if and only if $\lambda = \mu$ or $\lambda = \mu^{-1} q^{-2}$

Lemma: Suppose that q is not a root of unity, let M be a finite dimensional U -module that is the direct sum of its weight spaces (in particular this always happens in $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$). Then M is semisimple.

Complete reducibility of (almost all) finite dimensional representations.

Remark: Suppose that q is a root of unity: $q^l = 1$, then $[l] = 0$ hence $[i]! = 0$ whenever $i \geq l > 2$.

Proposition: If q is a primitive l -th root of unity ($l \in \mathbb{Z}, l \geq 3$) then E^l, F^l, K^l, K^{-l} are in the center of U .

Proof: We only need to show that they commute with the generators of U .

(i) K^l, K^{-l} central: $K^l E K^{-l} = q^{2l} E = E$ and $K^l F K^{-l} = q^{-2l} F = F$.

(ii) E^l central: $K E^l K^{-1} = q^{2l} E^l = E^l$ and $F E^l = E^l F - [l] E^{l-1} [K; l-1] = E^l F$

(iii) F^l central: $K F^l K^{-1} = q^{-2l} F^l = F^l$ and $E F^l = F^l E + [l] F^{l-1} [K; 1-l] = F^l E$. □

Remark: If $l = 2l'$ then $0 = [l] = [2l'] = \frac{q^{2l'} - q^{-2l'}}{q - q^{-1}} = q^{l'} \frac{q^{l'} - q^{-l'}}{q - q^{-1}}$ so $[l'] = 0$ and $E^{l'}, F^{l'}, K^{l'}, K^{-l'}$ are also central. We can restrict ourselves to considering q a primitive l -th root of unity with l -odd. The even case will follow by replacing l with a fraction $\frac{l}{2^i}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition: Suppose that q is a primitive l -root of unity with l -odd, $l \geq 3$. Set for $b, \lambda \in k, \lambda \neq 0$:

$$Z_b(\lambda) := \frac{M(\lambda)}{U(m_\lambda - b m_0)}$$

Remark:

We have:

$$E m_\lambda = [l] \cdot \frac{\lambda q^{l-1} - \lambda^{-1} q^{-l-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \cdot m_{\lambda-1} = 0, \quad K m_\lambda = \lambda q^{-2l} m_\lambda = \lambda m_\lambda$$

Hence:

$$E(m_\lambda - b m_0) = 0, \quad K(m_\lambda - b m_0) = \lambda(m_\lambda - b m_0)$$

and thus $U(m_\lambda - b m_0)$ is spanned by all $F^i(m_\lambda - b m_0) = m_{\lambda+1} - b m_i$ with $i \geq 0$. In particular the

images of the m_j with $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ in $Z_\delta(\lambda)$ are a basis of $Z_\delta(\lambda)$. Denoting this image again by m_j , $Z_\delta(\lambda)$ has basis m_0, \dots, m_{l-1} such that:

$$K m_i = q^{-2i} \lambda m_i$$

$$F m_i = \begin{cases} m_{i+1} & \text{if } i < l-1, \\ b m_0 & \text{if } i = l-1, \end{cases}$$

$$E m_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ [i] \cdot \frac{\lambda q^{-i} - \lambda q^{i-1}}{q - q^{-1}} m_{i-1} & \text{if } i > 0. \end{cases}$$

Since q is a primitive l -th root of unity with l odd, the q^{-2i} for $0 \leq i < l$ are distinct. Hence $Z_\delta(\lambda)_{q^{-2i} \lambda} = K m_i$ for $0 \leq i < l$. In particular K can have eigenvalues other than $\pm q^a$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ by choosing λ distinct from these \otimes

We have that $F^l m_i = b m_i$ for $0 \leq i < l$ and thus F^l acts by multiplication by b on $Z_\delta(\lambda)$. By choosing $b \neq 0$ we have that F does not act nilpotently on the finite dimensional U -module $Z_\delta(\lambda)$. \otimes

Proposition: Suppose that q is not a root of unity, let M be a finite dimensional

U -module. Then there are integers $r, s > 0$ with $E^r M = 0$ and $F^s M = 0$. \otimes This will not happen.

Proposition: Suppose that q is not a root of unity and that $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, let M be a

finite dimensional U -module. Then M is the direct sum of its weight spaces, and all weights of M have the form $\pm q^a$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

\otimes This will not generalize.

Conceptual facts

1) A representation of an algebra is the same thing as a module over the algebra.

2) The universal enveloping algebra preserves the representation theory: the representations of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} correspond to the modules over $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

In fact, the category of all representations of \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to the category of left modules over $U(\mathfrak{g})$, an abelian categories.