



8. CLASS FUNCTIONS

Definition 8.1. A class function on G is a function f: G -> C such that f(g) = f(xgx^-1) for all x, g in G. In other words, f is a complex-valued function on G that is constant on conjugacy classes.

Example 8.2.

- (1) Any character chi_V is a class function.
(2) Let C(g) be the conjugacy class of g in G. Then, the following is a class function

chi_{C(g)}(x) = { 1 if x in C(g), 0 if x not in C(g) }

- (3) The following is a class function if and only if g in Z(G) the center of the group G:

chi_g(x) = { 1 x = g, 0 x != g }

Definition 8.3. C := { f: G -> C: f is a class function } is the space of class functions of G.

Given f1, f2 in C, (f1, f2) := 1/|G| sum_{g in G} f1(g)f2(g)

Proposition 8.4.

- (1) C is a C-vector space.
(2) Let C1, ..., Cm be the complete list of mutually distinct conjugacy classes of G. Then, the function chi_{C1}, ..., chi_{Cm} form a basis of C.
(3) dim C = # conjugacy classes in G.

Proof. (1) We know already that C[G] = { f: G -> C } is a C-vector space, so we want to prove that C is a subspace of C[G]. Let f1, f2 in C and lambda, mu in C. Then, by definition,

(lambda f1 + mu f2)(g) = (lambda f1)(g) + (mu f2)(g) = lambda(f1(g)) + mu(f2(g)) = lambda(f1(x^-1gx)) + mu(f2(x^-1gx)) = (lambda f1 + mu f2)(x^-1gx)

thus (lambda f1 + mu f2) in C as desired.

- (2) Let f in C and gi in Ci for 1 <= i <= m. Then we claim that

f = sum_{i=1}^m lambda_i chi_{Ci}

To see this, let x in G. Then, x in Ci for some unique j, 1 <= j <= m. So,

(sum_{i=1}^m lambda_i chi_{Ci})(x) = sum_{i=1}^m lambda_i chi_{Ci}(x) = lambda_j chi_{Cj}(x) = lambda_j = f(x)

since x, gj in Cj and f in C.

Thus, chi_{C1}, ..., chi_{Cm} are a spanning set for C. To prove the linear independence, suppose that

sum_{i=1}^m lambda_i chi_{Ci} = 0

where lambda_i in C. Evaluating at gj for 1 <= j <= m produces

0 = sum_{i=1}^m lambda_i chi_{Ci}(gj) = lambda_j

as desired.

- (3) Consequence of (2).

Theorem 8.5. Let I1, ..., In be a complete list of non-isomorphic irreducible representations of G. Then, chi_{I1}, ..., chi_{In} form a basis of C.

To see this, we need a preparatory lemma

P_V: B -> GL(V) P_V(g)

Lemma 8.6. Let f in C and (rho, V) is a representation of G. Define a new linear function rho_V: V -> V such that

rho_V(f) = sum_{g in G} f(g) rho(g)

Then, rho_V(f) is G-intertwining and rho_V(g) = 1/dim V (sum_{g in G} f(g) rho(g)) Id_V

Proof.

(rho(h^-1)) rho_V(g) rho(h) = sum_{g in G} rho(h^-1) f(g) rho(g) rho(h) = sum_{g in G} f(g) rho(h^-1 g h) rho(h) = sum_{g in G} f(g) rho(h^-1 g h) = sum_{g in G} f(h^-1 g h) rho(h^-1 g h) = sum_{g in G} f(g) rho(g) = rho_V(f)

Hence, rho_V(f) is G-intertwining.

If V is irreducible, then by Schur's lemma, rho_V(f) = (1/dim V) Tr(rho_V(f)) Id_V. Thus, it suffices to show that Tr(rho_V(f)) = |G| f(ch_V).

Tr(rho_V(f)) = Tr(sum_{g in G} f(g) rho(g)) = sum_{g in G} f(g) Tr(rho(g)) = sum_{g in G} f(g) chi_V(g) = |G| (1/|G| sum_{g in G} f(g) chi_V(g)) = |G| f(ch_V)

Now, we can see the proof of theorem 8.5.

Proof of theorem 8.5. We have already shown that chi_{I1}, ..., chi_{In} are orthogonal elements of C w.r.t. (,). Any such set can be extended to an orthogonal basis of C (using Gram-Schmidt). So if we show that there are no further elements of C that are orthogonal to chi_{I1}, ..., chi_{In} then this list must indeed form a basis.

Let f in C such that (f, chi_{Ij}) = 0 for all j, 1 <= j <= n. We will show that f = 0. To see this, observe that if we

decompose C[G] = U1 + ... + Un, then

rho_{C[G]}(f) = sum_{g in G} f(g) rho_{C[G]}(g) = sum_{g in G} f(g) (rho_{U1}(g) 0 ... 0; 0 rho_{U2}(g) ... 0; ...; 0 0 ... rho_{Un}(g)) = (sum_{g in G} f(g) rho_{U1}(g) 0 ... 0; ...; 0 sum_{g in G} f(g) rho_{Un}(g)) = (|G|/dim U1 (f, chi_{U1}) Id_{U1} 0 ... 0; ...; 0 |G|/dim Un (f, chi_{Un}) Id_{Un}) = 0 by hypothesis on f

Then, from

rho_{C[G]}(f)(v_i) = sum_{g in G} f(g) rho_{C[G]}(g)(v_i) = sum_{g in G} f(g) v_i = 0

where 0 is from the above calculation of rho_{C[G]}(f), we can conclude that f(g) = 0 for all g, which implies f = 0.

Corollary 8.7. The number of complex irreducible representation of G is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of G.

Definition 8.8. Let I1, ..., In be the C-irreducible representations of G and C1, ..., Cm be the conjugacy classes of G. Then, the character table of G is the m x m table where (i, j)th entry is chi_{Ii}(gj) for some gj in Cj.

Example 8.9.

- (1) G = Cn = (x) is abelian. Then, Cj = {x^j} for j in {0, 1, ..., n-1}. Then, omega = e^{2pi i/n}. Ij is given by rho_j(x) = omega^j = e^{2pi i j/n}. Then,

Table with columns e, x, x^2, ..., x^{n-1} and rows I0, I1, ..., I_{n-1}. Entries are powers of omega.

from the fact that omega^{2(n-1)} = omega^{n-2} and omega^{(n-1)(n-1)} = omega^{n^2-2n+1} = omega.

- (2) If G = S3, then conjugacy classes are determined by cycle length, thus it has 3 conjugacy classes. Here, I1 is the trivial representation, I2 is the signed representation by sending pi -> sign(pi), and I3 is C^2 subrepresentation of C^3 spanned by e1 - e2, e2 - e3 where

{ e3 }, { (1 2), (1 3), (2 3) }, { (1 2 3), (1 3 2) }

e1 = (1, 0, 0), e2 = (0, 1, 0), e3 = (0, 0, 1)

Thus, the character table is

Character table for S3 with columns e, (12), (123) and rows I1, I2, I3.

- (3) G = D4 = (a, b: a^4 = b^2 = 1, bab^-1 = a^-1). We know that the conjugacy classes are {e}, {a, a^3}, {bba^2}, {ab, a^3b}, {a^2}.

Hence we have 5 irreducible representations. Then, 1-dim representations should be alpha in C, b -> beta in C satisfying alpha^4 = beta^2 = 1, alpha = alpha^-1. Thus,

beta^2 = alpha^2 = 1 implies beta = +/- 1, alpha = +/- 1.

Thus, we get for irreducible 1-dimensional representations. Moreover, we have 2 dimensional natural representation of G such that rho(a) = (1, 0; 0, 1) and rho(b) = (1, 0; 0, -1), which gives the character below.

chi_V(e) = 2, chi_V(a) = chi_V(b) = chi_V(ab) = 0, chi_V(a^2) = -2

from

(1/|G|) sum_{g in G} chi_V(g) chi_V(g) = 1/8 (2^2 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 2^2) = 1

we can fill out the table as below

Character table for D4 with columns e, a, b, a^2 and rows chi1, chi2, chi3, chi4, chiV.

9. LIFTING CHARACTERS

Suppose N <= G. We would like to compute the representations of G/N with the representation of G. Suppose we have rho: G/N -> GL(V) representations of G/N. Then, we get for free a representation of G

rho: G -> G/N -> GL(V) by g -> gN -> rho(gN)

For characters, chi_rho(g) = Tr(rho(g)) = Tr(rho(gN)) = chi_rho(gN)

Definition 9.1. If N <= G and chi is a character of G/N, then the character chi which is given by chi(g) = chi(gN) is called the lift of chi to G.

Notes that chi(e) = chi(eN), hence chi is irreducible iff chi is irreducible.

Lemma 9.2. Let rho: G -> GL(V) representation. Then, rho in ker(rho) iff chi_rho(g) = chi_rho(e).

Proposition 9.3. Let chi be a character of G. Then, (1) ker(chi) := {g in G: chi(g) = chi(e)} <= G (2) chi can be lifted from G/ker(chi).

Proof. (1): Let chi = chi_rho. By the above lemma, ker(chi) = ker(rho) <= G. (2) Let K = ker(chi). We can define rho: G/K -> GL(V) a representation by setting rho(gK) = rho(g). This is well-defined since gK = g'K implies g^-1g' in K, thus rho(g^-1g') = Id_V, hence rho(g) = rho(g'). By this construction, chi is the lift of chi_rho.

gK = g'K implies G/K -> GL(V) rho(gK) = rho(g) rho(g'K) = rho(g')

Corollary 9.4. G is not simple implies there exists g in G \ {e} and a nontrivial irreducible character chi such that chi(g) = chi(e).

Proof. Suppose the righthand side. Then, set K = ker(chi) = ker chi <= G by the theorem. Then, K != G because chi is nontrivial and {e} != K because e in K. Thus, G is not simple.

Suppose the G is not simple. Take N <= G, {e} != N != G. Then, let chi be a nontrivial irreducible character of G/N. Lift chi to a character chi of G. Then, chi is irreducible and chi(g) = chi(gN) = chi(eN) = chi(e) for all g in N.