

Recall: eigenspaces  $\ker(T - \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V) \subseteq V$

Theorem:  $T: V \rightarrow V$ , let  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$  be different eigenvalues of  $T$ . Then the associated eigenvectors  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are linearly independent.

Proof: We use induction.

The base case is  $n=1$ : let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue, with associated eigenvector  $v$ . Then  $v$  is linearly independent.

Suppose this is true for  $n=k-1$ . Namely if  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}$  are distinct eigenvalues, then the associated eigenvectors  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}$  are linearly independent. (this is the induction hypothesis).

Let's prove the case  $n=k$ .

We have  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  distinct.

We have  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  the corresponding eigenvectors.

Note that by induction hypothesis, since  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}$  are distinct then  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}$  are linearly independent.

Suppose that  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are linearly dependent. Then there are  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$

$c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1, \lambda_2 & & v_1 &= c \cdot v_2 \\ v_1, v_2 & & \lambda_1 \cdot v_1 &= T(v_1) = T(c \cdot v_2) = \\ & & &= c \cdot T(v_2) = c \cdot \lambda_2 \cdot v_2 = \\ & & &= c \cdot \lambda_2 \cdot \frac{v_1}{c} = \lambda_2 \cdot v_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k = 0.$$

$$-a_k v_k = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} v_{k-1}$$

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} v_{k-1} + a_k v_k = 0 \quad = \frac{a_1}{\lambda_1} T(v_1) + \dots + \frac{a_{k-1}}{\lambda_{k-1}} T(v_{k-1})$$

$$(T - \lambda_k \text{id}_V)(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k) = 0 \quad = T\left(\frac{a_1 v_1}{\lambda_1} + \dots + \frac{a_{k-1} v_{k-1}}{\lambda_{k-1}}\right)$$

$$T(a_1 v_1) + \dots + T(a_k v_k) - a_1 \lambda_k v_1 - \dots - a_k \lambda_k v_k = 0 \quad v_k \in \ker(T - \lambda_k \text{id}_V)$$

$$\lambda_1 a_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} a_{k-1} v_{k-1} - a_1 \lambda_k v_1 - \dots - a_{k-1} \lambda_k v_{k-1} = 0$$

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_k) a_1 v_1 + \dots + (\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k) a_{k-1} v_{k-1} = 0$$

Since  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}$  are linearly independent, then:

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_k) a_1 = 0, \dots, (\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k) a_{k-1} = 0$$

Since  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  are all distinct, then  $\lambda_i - \lambda_k \neq 0$  for all  $i=1, \dots, k-1$ , so:

$$a_1 = \dots = a_{k-1} = 0.$$

Thus  $a_k v_k = 0$  so  $a_k = 0$ . Thus  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are l.i. □

Corollary: Let  $T: V \rightarrow V$  be linear,  $\dim(V) = n$ . If  $T$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues then  $T$  is diagonalizable.

Definition: A polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_n[x]$  of degree  $n$  is said to be split over  $\mathbb{F}$

when it completely factors into linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ :

$$f(x) = c \cdot (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_n) \quad c, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}.$$

$$x^2 + 1$$

Theorem: Let  $T: V \rightarrow V$  be a linear diagonalizable transformation. Then its characteristic polynomial splits. (note  $V$  must be finite dimensional)

$$p_T(\lambda) = \det([T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}} - \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_n) = c \cdot (\lambda - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n)$$

Proof: Since  $T$  is diagonalizable, there exist a basis  $\mathcal{P}$  such that

$[T]_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is diagonal. Now:

$$p_T(\lambda) = \det([T]_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{P}} - \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_n) = \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} - \lambda & & & 0 \\ & a_{22} - \lambda & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & a_{nn} - \lambda \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= (a_{11} - \lambda) \cdot (a_{22} - \lambda) \cdots (a_{nn} - \lambda).$$

Thus  $p_T(\lambda)$  splits. □.

$$\begin{aligned} \det([T]_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{P}} - \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_n) &= \det([T - \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V]_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{P}}) = \det([T - \lambda \cdot \text{id}]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}) = \\ &= \det([T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}} - \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_n) \end{aligned}$$

$T: V \rightarrow V$ ,  $p_T(\lambda) = c \cdot (\lambda - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n)$  does this guarantee

$[T]_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is diagonal?

$$\underline{\text{No.}} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = A \quad p_A(x) = (x-1)(x-1)$$

Q 2x2

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A = Q^{-1} \cdot D \cdot Q \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right.$$

$$1 = \det(D)$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 & \\ & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

