

Recall:  $T$  diagonalizable  $\Leftrightarrow p_T(x)$  splits and  $V = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\lambda_K}$ .

Theorem:  $T: V \rightarrow V$  linear,  $V$  finite dimensional,  $E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda_K}$  eigenspaces of  $T$ .

If  $S_1 \subseteq E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, S_K \subseteq E_{\lambda_K}$  are linearly independent subsets then  $S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_K$  is linearly independent.

Sketch of the proof: let  $S_1 = \{v_1^1, \dots, v_{n_1}^1\}, \dots, S_K = \{v_1^K, \dots, v_{n_K}^K\}$ , suppose we have:

$$\underbrace{a_1^1 v_1^1 + \dots + a_{n_1}^1 v_{n_1}^1}_{T - \lambda_1 \cdot \text{id}_V} + \dots + \underbrace{a_1^K v_1^K + \dots + a_{n_1}^K v_{n_1}^K}_{T - \lambda_K \cdot \text{id}_V} = 0$$

This gives:

$$a_1^1 v_1^1 + \dots + a_{n_1}^1 v_{n_1}^1 = 0, \dots, a_1^K v_1^K + \dots + a_{n_1}^K v_{n_1}^K = 0$$

$$\text{so: } a_i^j = 0 \text{ for all } i, j.$$

□.

Theorem:  $T: V \rightarrow V$  linear,  $V$  f.d.,  $p_T(x)$  splits over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then:

1)  $T$  is diagonalizable if and only if the  $\underbrace{m_\lambda}_{\text{algebraic}} = \underbrace{\dim(E_\lambda)}_{\text{geometric}}$  for all  $\lambda$ .

algebraic      geometric.

2) If  $T$  is diagonalizable then we can find  $\beta_i$  of  $E_{\lambda_i}$  such that

$\beta = \beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_K$  is a basis of  $V$ .

$n = \dim(V)$

Proof: ( $\Rightarrow$ )  $T$  diagonalizable. Then there is a basis  $\beta$  of eigenvectors of  $V$ . Now:

$\beta_i = \beta \cap E_{\lambda_i}$  is linearly independent,  $n_i = |\beta_i| \leq \dim(E_{\lambda_i}) \leq m_{\lambda_i}$ .

$$p_T(x) = (x - \lambda_1)^{m_{\lambda_1}} \cdots (x - \lambda_K)^{m_{\lambda_K}} \quad n = \deg(p_T(x)) = m_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + m_{\lambda_K}$$

$$|\beta| = n \quad n = |\beta| = |\beta_1| + \cdots + |\beta_K| = n_1 + \cdots + n_K$$

$$\beta = \beta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \beta_K$$

$$n_1 + \cdots + n_K = n = m_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + m_{\lambda_K}$$

$$\underbrace{(m_{\lambda_1} - n_1)}_{\geq 0} + \cdots + \underbrace{(m_{\lambda_K} - n_K)}_{\geq 0} = 0$$

$$\text{So } n_1 = m_{\lambda_1}, \dots, n_K = m_{\lambda_K}. \quad \text{Now: } n_i \leq \dim(E_{\lambda_i}) \leq m_{\lambda_i} =$$

$$\text{Hence } \dim(E_{\lambda_1}) = m_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \dim(E_{\lambda_K}) = m_{\lambda_K}.$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Exercise. Let  $\dim(E_{\lambda_1}) = m_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \dim(E_{\lambda_K}) = m_{\lambda_K}$ .

$$\beta_1 \quad \beta_K$$

$\beta = \beta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \beta_K$  linearly independent, is a basis ( $|\beta| = n$ ) and is

an eigenbasis. □.

Theorem:  $T$  is diagonalizable if and only if  $p_T(x)$  splits and  $m_{\lambda_i} = \dim(E_{\lambda_i})$  for all  $i$ .

Def:  $V = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_K$  where  $V = W_1 + \cdots + W_K$  and  $W_i \cap \sum_{j \neq i} W_j = \{0\}$  for all  $i$ .

Theorem: T.F.A.E.:

$$i) V = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_K$$

- 2) Every  $v \in V$  can be uniquely written as  $v = w_1 + \dots + w_k$  with  $w_i \in W_i$ .
- 3) If  $\gamma_i$  is a basis for  $W_i$  then  $\delta = \gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_k$  is a basis of  $V$ .
- 4) For each  $i=1, \dots, k$  there is a basis  $\gamma_i$  of  $W_i$  such that  $\gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_k$  is a basis of  $V$ .

Theorem:  $T$  is diagonalizable if and only if  $V = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_n}$ .

Definition:  $T, S: V \rightarrow V$ ,  $V$  f.d., we say that  $T$  and  $S$  are simultaneously diagonalizable if there is a basis  $\beta$  of  $V$  such that  $[T]_{\beta}^{\beta}$  and  $[S]_{\beta}^{\beta}$  are both diagonal.

Two linear transformations are simultaneously diagonalizable if and only if they

commute.  $TS = ST$

