

Re: Doubts - Algebra II Class

1 message

Matt Papanikolas <papanikolas@tamu.edu> To: Pablo Sánchez Ocal <pablosanchezocal@gmail.com> Sat, Mar 11, 2017 at 9:22 PM

Pablo,

It might be useful to present some of the various isomorphisms in more detail. First we start with the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}$, and then we note that we have \mathbb{Q} -vector space isomorphisms

$$A \cong V \oplus W \cong A \oplus A,$$

where $V = \bigoplus_{i \text{ odd}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $W = \bigoplus_{i \text{ even}} \mathbb{Q}$. The first isomorphism is fairly straightforward, and we will set $\mu: V \xrightarrow{\sim} A, \qquad \nu: W \xrightarrow{\sim} A,$

to be the natural isomorphisms, which we use to define the second isomoprhism $\mu \oplus \nu : V \oplus W \xrightarrow{\sim} A \oplus A$. The actual definitions of μ and ν won't matter -- we just need them to be isomorphisms.

Now by way of μ we have an isomorphism of rings

$$\tilde{\mu} : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V, V).$$

But let's work this out in more detail. We have

$$\tilde{\mu} : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A, A) \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V, A) \xrightarrow{(\mu^{-1})_*} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V, V).$$

What this means is that if $f: A \to A$ is \mathbb{Q} -linear, then $\tilde{\mu}(f): V \to V$ is defined by $\tilde{\mu}(f) = \mu^{-1} \circ f \circ \mu$.

Ok, so now we let $R = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A, A)$, and we see that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V, V)$ is a free left R-module via $\tilde{\mu}$ with basis $\{\operatorname{id}_V\}$. For $g \in R$ and $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V, V)$, under this R-operation we trace through the definitions and find

$$g \cdot \alpha = \mu^{-1} \circ g \circ \mu \circ \alpha.$$

And in particular $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V, V) \cong R$ as a left R-module. Similarly we can define $\tilde{\nu} : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(W, W),$

and $Hom_{\mathbb{O}}(W, W)$ also has an induced left *R*-module structure and is isomorphic to *R* as a left *R*-module.

On to the problem at hand, we have a decomposition

$$R = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A, A) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V \oplus W, A)$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V, A) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(W, A)$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V, V) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(W, W),$$

where the map $\phi : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A, A) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V, V) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(W, W)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space isomorphism via the maps defined above. In particular, for $f : A \to A$,

$$\phi(f) = (\mu^{-1} \circ f \circ \mu, \nu^{-1} \circ g \circ \nu).$$

To show that ϕ is an isomorphism of left R-modules, we need to verify that for $g \in R$,

$$\phi(g \circ f) = g \cdot \phi(f),$$

but we note that

$$\begin{split} \phi(g \circ f) &= (\mu^{-1} \circ g \circ f \circ \mu, \nu^{-1} \circ g \circ f \circ \nu) \\ &= (g \cdot (\mu^{-1} \circ f \circ \mu), g \cdot (\nu^{-1} \circ f \circ \nu)) \\ &= g \cdot (\mu^{-1} \circ f \circ \mu, \nu^{-1} \circ f \circ \nu) \\ &= g \cdot \phi(f). \end{split}$$

Thus ϕ induces an isomorphism $R\cong R\oplus R$ of left R-modules.

I hope this is helpful. Enjoy the break.

Matt

On Fri, Mar 10, 2017 at 2:20 PM, Pablo Sánchez Ocal cpablosanchezocal@gmail.com> wrote:
Dear Dr. Papanikolas,

Today in class I asked you why:

$$\pi_i \circ g \circ f = g \circ \pi \circ f,$$

I just now tried to do it component-wise, but I found that there was no reason for them to be equal.

Why must this happen?

Thank you,

Pablo Sánchez