

January 2013:

⑤ - R comm. ring with $1 \neq 0$, N left R -mod.

\mathcal{P} prime ideal, $R_{\mathcal{P}}$, $N_{\mathcal{P}}$ localizations. Show:

$N = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow N_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\}$ for all $\mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow N_M = \{0\}$ for all maximal M .

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): If $N = \{0\}$ then any two elements in $N_{\mathcal{P}}$ are $(0, r)$, $(0, s)$ for some $r, s \in R \setminus \mathcal{P}$. Since: $r \cdot 0 = 0 = s \cdot 0$ then $(0, r) = 0 = (0, s)$ so $N_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Every maximal ideal over a ring with unity is prime.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Trick: proof by contrapositive.

Assume $N \neq \{0\}$, then we will show

that $N_M \neq \{0\}$ for a M maximal.

Take $x \in N$ not zero, $A(x)$ the

annihilator of x :

$$\{r \in R \mid r \cdot x = 0\} =: A(x).$$

We have $A(x) \subseteq R$, and $A(x) \neq \emptyset$

since $0 \in A(x)$, and $A(x) \neq R$

since $1 \notin A(x)$ because $1 \cdot x = x \neq 0$.

Either use or prove that $A(x)$ is ideal.

Thus there is a maximal ideal M

containing $A(x)$. We claim that

$N_M \neq \{0\}$, because $(x, 1) \notin (0, 1)$.

Suppose $(x, 1) = (0, 1)$, then there is

$r \in R \setminus M$ such that $r \cdot (1 \cdot x - 1 \cdot 0) = 0$,

but then $0 = r \cdot x$, so $r \in A(x) \subseteq M$.

Contradiction. Thus $N_M \neq \{0\}$.

$$\textcircled{6} - V = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}), \quad K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$$

(a) V/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois extension. Determine $\text{Gal}(V/\mathbb{Q})$.

For V/\mathbb{Q} to be Galois it needs to be normal and separable.

Take $\alpha = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$, proceed to compute $\alpha^2, \alpha^3, \alpha^4$ and find relations between them.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}, & -(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}), & \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}, & -\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 \end{array}$$

Claim: The polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)(x - \alpha_3)(x - \alpha_4) = \\ &= (x^2 - 5 - 2\sqrt{6})(x^2 - 5 + 2\sqrt{6}) = x^4 - 10x^2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

This usually works for $\sqrt{p} + \sqrt{q}$, p, q coprime, and $\sqrt{p + \sqrt{q}}$ (for $\pm \sqrt{q \pm \sqrt{q}}$).

is the minimal polynomial of $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$.

For this we will show that f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Since f is primitive, it is good enough to show that f is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} .

Hungerford III.6.13

Since the roots of f are not in \mathbb{Z} , if it were to factor over \mathbb{Z} it would have to be in quadratic terms:

$$f(x) = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + cx + d), \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(a+c)x^3 = 0.$$

$$(b+d+ac)x^2 = -10x^2.$$

$$(ad+bc)x = 0.$$

$$bd = 1.$$

$$c = -a,$$

$$b, d = \pm 1,$$

$$\text{so: } -a^2 = -12.$$

$$\text{or: } -a^2 = -8.$$

This has no solutions in \mathbb{Z} .

So f indeed is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Claim: V is the splitting field of f .

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \sqrt{2+\sqrt{3}} & , & -(\sqrt{2+\sqrt{3}}) & , & \sqrt{2-\sqrt{3}} & , & -\sqrt{2-\sqrt{3}} \\ \alpha_1 & & \alpha_2 & & \alpha_3 & & \alpha_4 \end{array}$$

$$\alpha_2 = -\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha).$$

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{-1}{\alpha_1} \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1).$$

$$\alpha_4 = -\alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1).$$

$\sqrt{p} + \sqrt{q}$ works
for the same reason.

So all the roots of f are in V .

So V is the splitting field of f irreducible

normal

polynomial, everything over \mathbb{Q} .

separable

Hungerford
V.3.11

To compute $\text{Gal}(V/\mathbb{Q})$ use magic:

Hungerford V.4.11

$$a = d_1 d_2 + d_3 d_4 = -10.$$

$$b = d_1 d_3 + d_2 d_4 = -2.$$

$$c = d_1 d_4 + d_2 d_3 = 2.$$

Then $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}(a, b, c)$, so:

$$\text{Gal}(V/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(2).$$

Comment: The isomorphism extension

theorem: 1.8 Papanikolas also works.

(5) $T: V \rightarrow V$. Check: T linear.

$$\alpha \mapsto (1 + \sqrt{2})\alpha$$

Choose a basis of V , represent T in matrix form.

find $\text{char}(T)$.

Notice: if $(1 + \sqrt{2})\alpha \in V$ then using $\alpha^{-1} \in V$

$$\text{we have } \sqrt{2} = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})\alpha}{\alpha} - 1 \in V.$$

We need to justify $\sqrt{2} \in V$, and/or $\sqrt{3} \in V$. (*)

$$(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) + (\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}) = 2\sqrt{2} \text{ in } V \text{ so } \sqrt{2} \in V.$$

Similarly $\sqrt{3} \in V$. Notice: $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$.

Now:

$\{1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{6}\}$ must be a basis of V , because

$$x^2 - 3 \text{ irreducible over } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$$

$$\text{so } V = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{3}).$$

Up til now, we have seen that T is well defined.

Now $T(\alpha) = r \cdot \alpha$ for some $r \in V$, so T will

be linear because it is a multiplication by a scalar.

Alternatively, hand check that:

$$T(\alpha + \beta) = T(\alpha) + T(\beta)$$

$$T(s \cdot \alpha) = s \cdot T(\alpha) \quad , \quad \alpha, \beta \in V, s \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

To write T using the basis:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(1) &= 1 + \sqrt{2} \\
 T(\sqrt{2}) &= 2 + \sqrt{2} \\
 T(\sqrt{3}) &= \sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} \\
 T(\sqrt{6}) &= 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6}
 \end{aligned}
 \quad \text{so} \quad
 T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence:

$$\text{char}(T) = \det(\mathbb{1} - \lambda T) = \dots = ((1 - \lambda)^2 - 2)^2$$

(c) $\text{id}: K \rightarrow K$, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, find a K basis for

$K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V$ consisting of eigenvectors of:

$$\text{id} \otimes T: K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V \longrightarrow K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V$$

present the eigenvectors as linear combinations of pure tensors.

Usual approach: tensoring basis for K, V will give a basis for $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V$.

A K -basis for V is $\{1, \sqrt{3}\}$, a K -basis for K is $\{1, \sqrt{2}\}$.

is 44. So $\{1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes \sqrt{3}\}$ is a K -basis for $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V$. Now let's see how $\text{id} \otimes T$ acts on it (just to find eigenvectors).

$$\text{id} \otimes T(1 \otimes 1) = \text{id}(1) \otimes T(1) = 1 \otimes (1 + \sqrt{2}) = (1 + \sqrt{2})(1 \otimes 1).$$

$$\text{id} \otimes T(1 \otimes \sqrt{3}) = 1 \otimes (\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6}) = (1 + \sqrt{2})(1 \otimes \sqrt{3}).$$

Remark: $1 \otimes \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{2} \cdot (1 \otimes 1) = \sqrt{2} \otimes 1.$

↑	↑
V is K -v.s.	K is K -v.s.
using action	using action
of K on V .	of K on K .

$$1 \otimes \sqrt{2} \in K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V$$

$\sqrt{2} \cdot 1$
scalar in K .

rewrite $1 \otimes \sqrt{2}$ in $\{1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes \sqrt{3}\}$.

What we are NOT doing is:

$$1 \otimes \sqrt{2} = 1 \otimes \sqrt{2} \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot \sqrt{2} \otimes 1 = \sqrt{2} \otimes 1.$$

It turns out that $\{1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes \sqrt{2}\}$ is already an eigenbasis for $\text{id} \otimes T$ (this is not true in general, we would need to do a change of basis in general).

Hence:

$$\text{id} \otimes T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

⑦ - $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ non-constant, $H \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ splitting field of f .
 $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$
 $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ f, g

(a) Find injective group hom:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) &\longrightarrow \text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q}) \times \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}). \\ \sigma &\longmapsto (\sigma|_H, \sigma|_K). \end{aligned}$$

To check:

$\sigma|_H$ lies inside H because f, g have f as a factor.

$\sigma|_K$ lies inside K because f, g have g as a factor.

(b) For $(\sigma, \tau) \in \text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q}) \times \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, find a

necessary and sufficient condition for (δ, τ) to be in $\text{im}(\phi)$.

Isomorphism extension theorem.

Given: f and g cannot share roots.

(8) - (b) Prove that if there are elements $m_1, \dots, m_k \in M$

and R -mod homs $f_i: M \rightarrow R$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ with

$$m_i = \sum_{j=1}^k f_j(m) m_j \quad \text{for all } m \in M, \text{ then}$$

M is projective.

$$\text{Let: } 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{h} B \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0$$

$\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \text{---} \phi \end{array}$

be an exact sequence. It is enough to show it

splits, to see M is projective.

Since g surjective, for $m_i \in M$ we have $b_i \in B$

Note: ϕ with $g(b_i) = m_i$. Define: $\phi: M \rightarrow B$.
may depend on the choice of b_i . But we do not care.

Suppose $m = m'$, then $f_i(m) = f_i(m')$.

$$m \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m) b_i$$
$$\text{Then } \phi(m) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m) b_i = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m') b_i = \phi(m').$$

Now ϕ is an R -mod homomorphism (do it in the

exam), and $g \circ \phi = \text{id}_M$ since:

$$(g \circ \phi)(m) = g\left(\sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m) b_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \underbrace{f_i(m)}_{\substack{R \\ \mathbb{R}}} g(b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m) m_i = m.$$

August 2014:

① - $M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\gamma \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, γ indeterminate.

(a) Show $\text{char}(M) =: f_M(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)[x]$.

$$\text{char}(M) = \det(\mathbb{1} - x \cdot M) = \dots = -x^3 - \gamma.$$

Attempt 1: roots...

Attempt 2: Eisenstein's criterion.

Thm: Let D be UFD with field of fractions

\bar{F} . Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in D[x]$ with f

non-constant. Then if p is an irreducible

element of D such that $p \mid a_n, p \mid a_i$ for $i \leq n-1$,

and $p^2 \nmid a_0$, then f is irreducible over $\bar{F}[x]$.

Note: $D = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$, \bar{F} is $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$, and $p = \gamma$ is irreducible in D .

Now: $\gamma \nmid x^3$, $\gamma \mid 0$, $\gamma \mid -\gamma$, and $\gamma^2 \nmid -\gamma$. So by

Eisenstein's we have $-x^3 - \gamma$ is irreducible.

(5) Show M is diagonalizable over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)}$.

M in some basis has to look diagonal.

Recall: $N = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & d_n \end{bmatrix}$ a diagonal matrix has characteristic polynomial

$\det(\mathbb{1} - \lambda N)$ where all entries are roots.

The minimal polynomial will also annihilate N , and the roots will be roots of $\text{char}(N)$.

Prop:

A matrix is diagonalizable over k iff its minimal polynomial splits in k and has no multiple roots.

Chapter:

"Representation of
an Endomorphism",
Exercise 13,
Algebra by Lang.

Jordan blocks:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \square & & \\ & \square & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \square \end{bmatrix}$$

where the blocks have diagonal entries, 1 either above or below the diagonal, and 0 elsewhere.

The kernels of the matrices depend on the size of the blocks.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

gives element in the kernel.

By Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, the minimal polynomial
Hungerford VII.5.2(ii) $f_M(x)$

divides the characteristic polynomial, since $\overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)}$ is algebraically closed, both $f_M(x)$ and $\chi_M(x)$ split over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)}$. It remains to see that $f_M(x)$ has no multiple roots. A root of a polynomial is multiple if it is a root and it is also a root of the formal derivative of the polynomial. Hungerford II.6.10(i).

$$\underbrace{f_M(x) = -x^3 - 7}_{\text{does not have 0 or a root.}}; \quad \underbrace{f'_M(x) = -3x^2}_{\text{only has 0 as root}}$$

So $f_M(x)$, f'_M have all roots different, so $f_M(x)$ has no multiple roots.

(c) Show that M is not diagonalizable over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_3(\gamma)}$.

From (b), notice $f'_M(x) = 0$. Then $f_M(x)$ has multiple roots (it splits over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_3(\gamma)}$, so it has roots, and they will also be roots of $f'_M(x)$).

$x^3 + \gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_3(\gamma)}$, so it has to have a root, so $\gamma^{1/3} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_3(\gamma)}$.

So M is not diagonalizable.