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## Problem 1

Let $f$ be an entire function with $|f(z)|<\log (|z|)$ for $|z|>R$. We show that $f$ is constant by proving that $f^{\prime} \equiv 0$.

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z|>R$ and $\gamma(t)=z+r e^{i t}$ for $0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi$ (choose $r$ big enough such that $B_{R}(0)$ is inside $\left.B_{r}(z)\right)$, we use Cauchy's Integral Formula for the first derivative:

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w) d w}{(w-z)^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(w)||d w|}{|w-z|^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\log (|z|)|d w|}{r^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{\log (r) 2 \pi r}{r^{2}}
$$

thus we have that:

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{\log (r)}{r} \rightarrow 0 \text { when } r \rightarrow \infty
$$

Hence for some fixed $M>R$ we have that $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq 1$ when $|z|>M$. Moreover, since $\overline{B_{M}(0)}$ is a compact subspace and $f^{\prime}$ is continuous, it is bounded by some value, $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq N$ fixed. Hence $f^{\prime}$ is entire bounded in $\mathbb{C}$, thus by Liouville's Theorem $f^{\prime}$ is constant, but since $f^{\prime}$ takes arbitrary small values in module, we must have $f^{\prime}(z)=0$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. This means that $f$ is constant.

## Problem 2

Consider $T(z)=(2 z+3) /(z+2)$.

1. We clearly have that $T$ fixes $\pm \sqrt{3}$ :

$$
T( \pm \sqrt{3})=\frac{ \pm 2 \sqrt{3}+3}{ \pm \sqrt{3}+2} \frac{\mp \sqrt{3}+2}{\mp \sqrt{3}+2}=\frac{-6 \pm 4 \sqrt{3} \mp 3 \sqrt{3}+6}{\mp 3+4}= \pm \sqrt{3} .
$$

2. Moreover, $T$ preserves the circle passing through $\sqrt{3},-\sqrt{3}, i$ since we will compute the cross ratio $[-\sqrt{3}, i, T(i), \sqrt{3}]$ and obtain a real number. This means that all four $\sqrt{3},-\sqrt{3}, i, T(i)$ lie on the same circle. Since $T$ fixes $\pm \sqrt{3}$, this means that the triplets $(\sqrt{3},-\sqrt{3}, i)$ and $(\sqrt{3},-\sqrt{3}, T(i))$ define the same circle, that is, $T$ preserves the circle we want.
For the computation, we have:

$$
T(i)=\frac{2 i+3}{i+2} \frac{i-2}{i-2}=\frac{i+8}{5}
$$

thus:

$$
[-\sqrt{3}, i, T(i), \sqrt{3}]=\frac{-\sqrt{3}-\frac{i+8}{5}}{-2 \sqrt{3}} \frac{i-\sqrt{3}}{i-\frac{i+8}{5}}=\frac{(5 \sqrt{3}+i+8)(i-\sqrt{3})}{2 \sqrt{3}(4 i-8)}
$$

but since we are only interested in proving that this is real, not in computing the operation, we will divide and multiply by $(4 i+8)$, which will turn the denominator into a real number, and we proceed with the numerator:

$$
(5 \sqrt{3}+i+8)(i-\sqrt{3})(4 i+8)=(i(4 \sqrt{3}+8)-2(4 \sqrt{3}+8))(4 i+8)
$$

and now we only have to verify that the complex part of this number vanishes (we do not need to multiply the whole thing, just find the ones that contain $i$ ):

$$
8 i(4 \sqrt{3}+8)-8 i(4 \sqrt{3}+8)=0
$$

as desired. Hence the cross ratio is real, and we obtain the desired result.

## Problem 3

Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}, R$ big enough so that $B_{R}(0)$ contains every root of $p(z)$. Let $\gamma(t)=R e^{i t}$ for $0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi$, prove that:

$$
\int_{\gamma} \frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=2 n \pi i
$$

First, suppose that the zeros of $p(z)$ are $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ (all different) with respective multiplicities $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m}$ (all non zero) with $m \leq n$ and obviously $n=k_{1}+\cdots+k_{m}$. Now we know that $p(z)=\left(z-a_{1}\right)^{k_{1}} p_{1}(z)$ with the polynomial $p_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) \neq 0$, thus $p^{\prime}(z)=$ $k_{1}\left(z-a_{1}\right)^{k_{1}-1} p_{1}(z)+\left(z-a_{1}\right)^{k_{1}} p_{1}^{\prime}(z)$. Moreover:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\frac{k_{1}\left(z-a_{1}\right)^{k_{1}-1} p_{1}(z)+\left(z-a_{1}\right)^{k_{1}} p_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(z-a_{1}\right)^{k_{1}} p_{1}(z)}=\frac{k_{1}}{z-a_{1}}+\frac{p_{1}(z)}{p_{1}^{\prime}(z)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This argument done $m$ times implies that:

$$
\frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\frac{k_{1}}{z-a_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{k_{m}}{z-a_{m}}
$$

hence (we can separate the integral in its sums):

$$
\int_{\gamma} \frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\int_{\gamma} \frac{k_{1}}{z-a_{1}}+\cdots+\int_{\gamma} \frac{k_{m}}{z-a_{m}}=2 \pi i k_{1}+\cdots+2 \pi i k_{m}=2 n \pi i
$$

since we know that:

$$
\int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z-a}=2 \pi i \text { when } a \text { inside } \gamma
$$

## Problem 4

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and connected, $a \in G, f: G \backslash\{a\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic and $f$ bounded on a neighborhood of $a$ (say by $M$ fixed). Let:

$$
g(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(z-a)^{2} f(z) \text { if } z \neq a \\
0 \text { if } z=a
\end{array}\right.
$$

we show:

1. Now $g^{\prime}(a)=0$ :

$$
\left|g^{\prime}(a)\right|=\left|\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(a+h)-g(a)}{h}\right|=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|h^{2}\right||f(a+h)|}{|h|} \leq \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}|h| M=0 .
$$

2. Knowing that $g$ is analytic (by Goursat's Theorem), prove that $f$ has a unique analytic extension to a holomorpic function on $G$. We define:

$$
\tilde{f}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{g(z)}{(z-a)^{2}} \text { if } z \neq a \\
\frac{g^{(2)}(a)}{2} \text { if } z=a
\end{array}\right.
$$

we clearly have that $\tilde{f}(z)=f(z)$ for $z \in \mathcal{G} \backslash\{a\}$, have to prove that $\tilde{f}$ is analytic. For this, we notice that since $g$ is analytic and $g(a)=0=g^{\prime}(a)$, we can write its series expansion as:

$$
g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(n)}(a)}{n!}(z-a)^{n}=(z-a)^{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(n)}(a)}{n!}(z-a)^{n-2}
$$

thus:

$$
\tilde{f}(z)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(n)}(a)}{n!}(z-a)^{n-2},
$$

which is analytic since it is continuously differentiable as a consequence of $g$ being analytic. This proves that $f$ has at least one analytic extension $\tilde{f}$.
Suppose we have another analytic function $\tilde{g}$ in $G$ with $\tilde{g}(z)=f(z)$ in $G \backslash\{a\}$. This means that $\tilde{g}(z)=\tilde{f}(z)$ in $G \backslash\{a\}$, which is an open connected subspace of $\mathbb{C}$, hence $\tilde{g} \equiv \tilde{f}$ by [1, Corollary 3.9, p. 79].

## Problem 5

Let $f$ be entire with $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{C} \backslash[0,1]$. We show that $f$ is constant. For this, we suppose $f$ is entire non constant, we will achieve a contradiction. The idea is to prove that $|f(z)|$ is bounded for $|z|>R$ big enough, and thus bounded in $\mathbb{C}$ by being continuous in the compact $B_{R}(0)$, thus by Liouville's Theorem, we will obtain that $f$ is constant.

Knowing that $f$ is entire non constant, we now prove that $f(\mathbb{C})$ must be dense in $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose not, that is, there is a point $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have that $|f(z)-a|>r$ fixed. Now we have:

$$
\left|\frac{1}{f(z)-a}\right|=\frac{1}{|f(z)-a|}<\frac{1}{r}
$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, thus since $1 /(f(z)-a)$ is analytic since addition of analytic functions and inversion of an analytic function are analytic (where they are defined), and is bounded, by Liouville's Theorem $1 /(f(z)-a)$ is constant hence $f(z)$ is constant. But this is a contradiction with $f$ non constant. Hence the image is dense in $\mathbb{C}$.

Now, since the image of $f$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}$, for every point $x \in[0,1]$ and every $\varepsilon>0$ there is $z_{\varepsilon}$ with $f\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \in B_{\varepsilon}(x)$ thus there is a convergent subsequence $\left\{f\left(z_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with limit $x \in[0,1]$, that is:

$$
\lim _{i \in \mathbb{N}} f\left(z_{i}\right)=x \Longleftrightarrow f\left(\lim _{i \in \mathbb{N}} z_{i}\right)=x
$$

since $f$ is continuous. Now $\lim _{i \in \mathbb{N}} z_{i}$ cannot converge since $x \notin f(\mathbb{C})$. Thus we obtain that when $f(z)$ tends to $[0,1]$ then $z \in \mathbb{C}$ tends to infinity. Since we can tend to $[0,1]$ from at least two different directions (and whose subsequences cannot intersect once we get close enough to 0 and 1 respectively since $\mathbb{C}$ is Hausdorff), namely tending to 0 from the left and to 1 from the right, we have control in the modulus $|f(z)| \leq 1$ when $|z| \rightarrow \infty,{ }^{1}$

This means that for $R$ big enough, we have that if $|z|>R$ then $|f(z)| \leq 2$. Now $\overline{B_{R}(0)}$ is a compact bounded subspace, by $f$ being continuous we have that $f$ is bounded there, say $|f(z)| \leq M$ for $|z| \leq R$. Thus $|f(z)| \leq M+2$ is a bound for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, hence by Liouville's Theorem we have that $f$ is constant.

[^0]
## Problem 5

Show that the function $f(t)=t /\left(e^{t}-1\right)$ has a unique holomorphic extension to $B_{1}(0)$. Find the first four coefficients of the power series.

Notice that $f(t)$ is finite and well defined everywhere in $B_{1}(0)$ except maybe $t=0$. We compute (using l'Hôpital's Rule):

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t}{e^{t}-1}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{e^{t}}=1,
$$

thus $f$ is bounded in $B_{1}(0)$. By Problem $4, f$ has a unique analytic extension to a holomorphic function on $B_{1}(0)$, say $\tilde{f}$. Letting:

$$
\tilde{f}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} t^{n},
$$

we want to find $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$. We already computed $a_{0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)=1$. Observe that:

$$
e^{t}-1=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{k}}{k!}
$$

thus the relation $\tilde{f}(t)=t /\left(e^{t}-1\right)$ is transformed inside $B_{1}(0)$ to the power series relation (that can be treated as just giant polynomials since we are inside the radius of convergence):

$$
\left(a_{0}+a_{1} t+a_{2} t^{2}+a_{3} t^{3}+\cdots\right)\left(t+\frac{t^{2}}{2}+\frac{t^{3}}{6}+\frac{t^{4}}{24}+\cdots\right)=t
$$

hence we can compute the equality coefficient by coefficient after multiplying:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a_{0}=1 \\
\frac{a_{0}}{2}+a_{1}=0 \Longrightarrow a_{1}=\frac{-1}{2} \\
\frac{a_{0}}{6}+\frac{a_{1}}{2}+a_{2}=0 \Longrightarrow a_{2}=\frac{1}{12} \\
\frac{a_{0}}{24}+\frac{a_{1}}{6}+\frac{a_{2}}{2}+a_{3}=0 \Longrightarrow a_{3}=0
\end{array}
$$

the coefficients we wanted.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ I am not quite sure if the argument as presented here is correct. I feel that this approach can prove the result, but I am not sure if I untangled all the nuisances. Any help on this line of reasoning would be more than welcome.

