Topology I - Homework 4
Pablo Sánchez Ocal
October 4th, 2016

## Exercise 6.5

Let $f: X \longrightarrow X$ be an injective continuous function with $X$ compact Hausdorff. We will show that there exists a nonempty closed subset $A$ of $X$ with $f(A)=A$ without using injectivity. Thus we will answer the first, second (i.e. we never used injectivity) and third questions at the same time.

With all the information about $X$ and $f$ (except injectivity), we know that $f$ continuous is closed since the domain is compact and the codomain is Hausdorff. Thus $f(T)$ is closed for any $T \subset X$ closed. Consider $X$ and the images $f^{n}(X)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $f(X) \subset X$, we have the decreasing sequence:

$$
X \supseteq f(X) \supseteq f^{2}(X) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq f^{n}(X) \supseteq \cdots
$$

If at any point this sequence stabilizes, that is $f^{n}(X)=f^{n+1}(X)=f\left(f^{n}(X)\right)$, then taking $A=f^{n}(X)$ (closed since $f$ closed) we have $A=f(A)$ as desired.

Suppose this sequence never stabilizes, that is the inclusions are strict:

$$
X \supsetneq f(X) \supsetneq f^{2}(X) \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq f^{n}(X) \supsetneq \cdots
$$

This means that $\mathcal{F}=\left\{f^{n}(X): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a family of closed sets with the finite intersection property, since for $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_{1}<\cdots<n_{k}$ we have $f^{n_{1}}(X) \cap \cdots \cap f^{n_{k}}(X)=f^{n_{k}}(X) \neq \emptyset$ since $f$ is a function. Thus by compactness of $X$, we have that $A=\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{n}(X)$ closed (since it is intersection of closed sets) is non empty. We claim that $f(A)=A$, which will show what we wanted:
$\subseteq) f(A) \subset \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f\left(f^{n}(X)\right)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{n}(X) \subset \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{n}(X)=A$, since intersecting with $f^{0}(X)=X$ does nothing.

〇) Let $a \in A$, we want to see that $a \in f(A)$. Consider $B=f^{-1}(a)$, which is non empty since $a \in A$ in particular means $a \in f(X)$. Consider $B_{n}=B \cap f^{n}(X)$ which is non empty since $a \in A$ in particular means $a \in f^{n+1}(X)$ hence $f\left(f^{n}(x)\right)=a$ for some $x \in X$ thus for $x_{n}=f^{n}(x)$ (i.e. $x_{n} \in f^{n}(X)$ ) we have $f\left(x_{n}\right)=a$ (i.e. $x_{n} \in B$ ). This means that $\mathcal{F}_{B}=\left\{B_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a family of closed sets that has the finite intersection property for the same reason the family $\mathcal{F}$ has it, and thus by compactness $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} B \cap f^{n}(X) \subset A$. In particular there is an element $b \in B$ in $A$, thus we found $b \in A$ with $f(b)=a$ hence $a \in f(A)$.

## Exercise 6.7

Let $A, B$ be compact subspaces of $X$ a topological space.

1. Show that $A \cup B$ is compact: let $A \cup B \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} U_{j}$ be an open cover, now $A \subset$ $\bigcup_{j \in J} U_{j} \cap A$ and $B \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} U_{j} \cap B$ are open covers of $A$ and $B$ respectively. Thus by compactness we must have $A \subset \bigcup_{j \in J_{A}} U_{j} \cap A$ and $B \subset \bigcup_{j \in J_{B}} U_{j} \cap B$ two finite open subcovers, that is, $J_{A}$ and $J_{B}$ are finite subsets of $J$. Then $A \cup B \subset$ $\bigcup_{j \in J_{A} \cup J_{B}} U_{j}$ is a finite subcover since $J_{A} \cup J_{B}$ is finite, meaning that $A \cup B$ is compact.
2. Give an example of $X, A$ and $B$ where $A \cap B$ is not compact. Consider $\mathbb{N}$ with the discrete topology $\tau_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $x, y$ two points not in $\mathbb{N}$. Let $X=\mathbb{N} \cup\{x, y\}$ with the topology $\tau=\tau_{\mathbb{N}} \cup\{\{x\} \cup \mathbb{N},\{y\} \cup \mathbb{N},\{x, y\} \cup \mathbb{N}\}$ (that is, the only opens different from the total that contain $x$ or $y$ are $\{x\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\{y\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ respectively). This is a clearly a topology, but a fast proof is:
(a) $\emptyset \in \tau_{\mathbb{N}} \subset \tau, X \in \tau$.
(b) The unions of opens in $\tau_{\mathbb{N}}$ stay in $\tau_{\mathbb{N}}$. Whenever we have a union containing only $\{x\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and elements of $\tau_{\mathbb{N}}$, this is just $\{x\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ (and equivalently for $\{y\} \cup \mathbb{N})$. Whenever we have both $\{x\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\{y\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ or $\{x, y\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ the union is the whole $X$. And all of the above are open.
(c) The finite intersections of opens in $\tau_{\mathbb{N}}$ stay in $\tau_{\mathbb{N}}$. Whenever we have a intersection containing $\{x\} \cup \mathbb{N},\{y\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ or $\{x, y\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and elements of $\tau_{\mathbb{N}}$, this is just in $\tau_{\mathbb{N}}$ (and equivalently for $\{y\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ ). Whenever we have only $\{x\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ with $\{y\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ the intersection is $\mathbb{N}$ (and including $\{x, y\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ does not affect anything and thus may be omitted). And all of the above are open.

Now, any cover of $\{x\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ must contain $\{x\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ (and analogously for or $\{y\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ ) thus both $A=\{x\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $B=\{y\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ are compact. However, $A \cap B=\mathbb{N}$ is infinite and discrete, thus cannot be compact.

## Exercise 7.1

Show that the Axiom of Choice is equivalent to the existence of sections for surjective functions.
$\Rightarrow)$ Let $J$ be nonempty, $\left\{A_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ a family of non empty sets, we know that there exists $f: J \longrightarrow \bigcup_{j \in J} A_{j}$ with $f(j) \in A_{j}$ for every $j \in J$.

Consider $g: X \longrightarrow Y$ a surjective function. Thus for every $y \in Y$ we have $g^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$. Apply the Axiom of Choice with $J=Y$ and $\left\{A_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}=\left\{A_{y}=g^{-1}(y)\right\}_{y \in Y}$ (notice $\left.\bigcup_{y \in Y} g^{-1}(y)=g^{-1}(Y)=X\right)$. This gives us a function $f: Y \longrightarrow X$ with $f(y) \in g^{-1}(y)$ for every $y \in Y$, meaning that $g \circ f(y)=y$ for every $y \in Y$, thus $g \circ f=1_{B}$ is the identity and $f$ is a right inverse of $g$.
$\Leftarrow$ Let sections of surjective functions exist, let $J$ be non empty and $\left\{A_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ a family of non empty sets. We will need an intermediate step, for which we will use the disjoint union of sets $\bigsqcup_{j \in J} A_{j}$ that has for elements the pairs ( $a, j$ ) with given $j \in J$ that $a \in A_{j}$. Notice that the function $g: \bigsqcup_{j \in J} A_{j} \longrightarrow J$ defined by $g(a, j)=j$ (we have $\left.a \in A_{j}\right)$ is surjective, thus there exists $\tilde{f}: J \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{j \in J} A_{j}$ with $\tilde{f}(j)=(a, j)$ for certain $a \in A_{j}$ for every $j \in J$. Now notice that the composition $f=\iota \circ \tilde{f}: J \longrightarrow \bigcup_{j \in J} A_{j}$ with $\iota: \bigsqcup_{j \in J} A_{j} \longrightarrow \bigcup_{j \in J} A_{j}$ defined by $\iota(a, j)=a$ (we have $a \in A_{j}$ ) for every $j \in J$ is such that $f(j)=a \in A_{j}$ for every $j \in J$, thus this $f$ is the function satisfying the Axiom of Choice that we wanted.

Notice that the naive approach of simply setting $g: \bigcup_{j \in J} A_{j} \longrightarrow J$ defined by $g(a)=j$ when $a \in A_{j}$ is not necessarily a well defined function, and if we manage to make it a function it may not be surjective:

1. We may have $A_{l} \cap A_{k} \neq \emptyset, l, k \in J$ with $l \neq k$ and such intersection not contained in any other element of the family. Hence the naive definition would want to send elements in the intersection to both $l$ and $k$, meaning that $g$ is not a well defined function.
2. We may want to narrow the naive definition of $g$ to "choose" one of the indexes when the case above happens. However, this does not solve all our problems: we may have $A_{j}=A$ a fixed set for every $j \in J$, and since for every element $a \in \bigcup_{j \in J} A_{j}$ then $a \in A_{j_{0}}$ for a fixed $j_{0} \in J$, we may "choose" to set $g$ constant to this $j_{0} \in J$ to fix the problem of definition faced above. This is at least is a function and satisfies a narrower naive definition of $g$, but is clearly not surjective.

This is why we need to be more careful and refine the argument and use the disjoint union of sets to properly define $g$ so that it satisfies what we want.

## Exercise 7.2

Show that Zorn's Lemma implies the existence of a basis in every vector space.
Let $V$ be a vector space (over some field $K$ ). If $V=\{\overrightarrow{0}\}$, then $\mathcal{B}=\{ \}=\emptyset$ is a basis. Suppose we have $\vec{v} \in V$ with $\vec{v} \neq \overrightarrow{0}$, notice that this means that $\{\vec{v}\}$ is linearly independent. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of linearly independent subsets of $V$ (ordered by inclusion) that contain $\{\vec{v}\}$, notice $\{\vec{v}\} \in \mathcal{L}$ and thus $\mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$. We will use Zorn's Lemma on $\mathcal{L}$ to see that it has a maximal element $M \in \mathcal{L}$, and we will then prove that this maximal element must be a basis: it is linearly independent and it spans $V$ (and of course is non empty since $\vec{v} \in M$ because $\{\vec{v}\} \subset M)$.

Let $\left\{C_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a chain of elements of $\mathcal{L}$, set $C=\bigcup_{j \in J} C_{j}$. We claim $C \in \mathcal{L}$. Suppose not, we will achieve a contradiction. We clearly have $\vec{v} \in C$. Suppose then $C$ is linearly dependent, there exist $\overrightarrow{v_{1}}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{v_{n}} \in C$ and $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n} \in K \backslash\{0\}$ with $k_{1} \overrightarrow{v_{1}}+\cdots+k_{n} \overrightarrow{v_{n}}=0$. Now we have $\overrightarrow{v_{1}} \in C_{j_{1}}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{v_{n}} \in C_{j_{n}}$ with $C_{j_{k}} \in \mathcal{L}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since $\left\{C_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a chain, we have that $C_{j_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup C_{j_{n}}=C_{j_{k}}$ for some $0 \leq k \leq n$ and thus we have $\overrightarrow{v_{1}}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{v_{n}} \in C_{j_{k}}$, meaning that $C_{j_{k}}$ is linearly dependent, a contradiction since $C_{j_{k}} \in \mathcal{L}$. Thus $C$ is linearly independent. This means that $\mathcal{L}$ is a partially ordered set where every chain has an upper bound, thus by Zorn's Lemma, $\mathcal{L}$ has a maximal element $M \in \mathcal{L}$.

Since $M \in \mathcal{L}, M$ is linearly independent and $\vec{v} \in M$, hence we just have to show that $M$ spans $V$. Suppose not, we achieve a contradiction. If there is an element $\vec{u} \in V$ such that the span of $M$ does not contain $\vec{u}$, then $M \cup\{\vec{u}\} \in \mathcal{L}$ since $\vec{v} \in M \subset M \cup\{\vec{u}\}$ and $M \cup\{\vec{u}\}$ is linearly independent (if it were not, we could write $\vec{u}$ as a linear combination of elements in $M$, thus it would belong in the span of $M)$. However, $M \subsetneq M \cup\{\vec{u}\}$, contradicting the maximality of $M$ in $\mathcal{L}$, thus $M$ spans $V$.

We found $M$ a non empty linearly independent subset of $V$ that spans $V$, thus by definition $M$ is a basis of $V$.

