

# Algebra II - Homework 4

Pablo Sánchez Ocal

March 3rd, 2017

## Exercise 1

Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$ .

1. Show that the ideal  $A = (2, \sqrt{-6})$  is not principal. For this, we first define the function  $N : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  as  $N(a + b\sqrt{-6}) = a^2 + 6 + b^2$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Notice how for  $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 N((a_1 + b_1\sqrt{-6})(a_2 + b_2\sqrt{-6})) &= N((a_1a_2 - 6b_1b_2) + (a_1b_2 + b_1a_2)\sqrt{-6}) \\
 &= (a_1a_2 - 6b_1b_2)^2 + 6(a_1b_2 + b_1a_2)^2 \\
 &= a_1^2a_2^2 + 6b_1^2a_2^2 + 6a_1^2b_2^2 + 36b_1^2b_2^2 \\
 &= (a_1^2 + 6b_1^2)(a_2^2 + 6b_2^2) \\
 &= N(a_1 + b_1\sqrt{-6})N(a_2 + b_2\sqrt{-6}),
 \end{aligned}$$

which means that for  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$  we have  $N(rs) = N(r)N(s)$ . Once we have this, suppose that  $(2, \sqrt{-6}) = (a)$  for certain  $a_1 + a_2\sqrt{-6} = a \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$ , we want to find a contradiction. This means that there are  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$  such that:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 2 = ar \\ \sqrt{-6} = as \end{array} \right\} \implies \left. \begin{array}{l} 4 = N(2) = N(ar) = N(a)N(r) \\ 6 = N(\sqrt{-6}) = N(as) = N(a)N(s) \end{array} \right\} \implies N(a) \mid \gcd(4, 6) = 2$$

because everything is in  $\mathbb{N}$ , thus we have either:

- (i)  $N(a) = 1$  meaning that  $a = \pm 1$ . Hence  $1 \in A$  and there are  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$ , say  $u = u_12 + u_2\sqrt{-6}$ ,  $v = v_12 + v_2\sqrt{-6}$ , such that:

$$1 = u2 + v\sqrt{-6} = u_12 - 6v_2 + u_22\sqrt{-6} + v_1\sqrt{-6} \implies 1 = 2(u_1 - 3v_2)$$

which is impossible since  $u_1 - 3v_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , thus we have a contradiction.

- (ii)  $N(a) = 2$  meaning that  $2 = a_1^2 + 6a_2^2$ . If  $a_1 = 0$ , we have that  $2 > 0$  if  $a_2 = 0$  and  $2 < 6a_2^2$  for every  $a_2 \neq 0$ , a contradiction in either case. If  $|a_1| = 1$  we have that  $2 > 1$  if  $a_2 = 0$  and  $2 < 1 + 6a_2^2$  for every  $a_2 \neq 0$ , a contradiction in either case. If  $|a_1| > 1$  we have that  $2 < a_1^2 + 6a_2^2$  for every  $a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , a contradiction.

Thus we found a contradiction in every possible outcome. This means that there does not exist such an  $a \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$  and  $A$  is not principal.

2. Show that  $A$  is projective as an  $R$ -module. Suppose we have  $R$ -module homomorphisms  $f : N \rightarrow M$  and  $g : M \rightarrow A$  such that  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence. Since  $g : M \rightarrow A$  is surjective, there are elements  $\alpha, \beta \in M$  such that  $g(\alpha) = 2$  and  $g(\beta) = \sqrt{-6}$ . Consider the function  $\psi : A \rightarrow M$  defined by  $\psi(r2 + s\sqrt{-6}) = r\alpha + s\beta$  for every  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$ . First, note that this is an  $R$ -module homomorphism, since for  $r, r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$  we have:

- (a)  $\psi([r_1 2 + s_1 \sqrt{-6}] + [r_2 2 + s_2 \sqrt{-6}]) = \psi([r_1 + r_2] 2 + [s_1 + s_2] \sqrt{-6}) = (r_1 + r_2)\alpha + (s_1 + s_2)\beta = r_1\alpha + s_1\beta + r_2\alpha + s_2\beta = \psi(r_1 2 + s_1 \sqrt{-6}) + \psi(r_2 2 + s_2 \sqrt{-6}),$
- (b)  $\psi(r[r_1 2 + s_1 \sqrt{-6}]) = \psi(rr_1 2 + rs_1 \sqrt{-6}) = rr_1\alpha + rs_1\beta = r(r_1\alpha + s_1\beta) = r\psi(r_1 2 + s_1 \sqrt{-6}),$

and finally we have that for every  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$ :

$$g \circ \psi(r 2 + s \sqrt{-6}) = g(r\alpha + s\beta) = rg(\alpha) + sg(\beta) = r 2 + s \sqrt{-6} = \text{id}_A(r 2 + s \sqrt{-6})$$

hence  $g \circ \psi = \text{id}_A$  and  $\psi$  is a splitting, meaning that the exact sequence splits and thus  $A$  is projective.

## Exercise 2

Let  $R$  be a ring with  $1 \neq 0$  and  $M$  a finitely generated left  $R$ -module.

1. Suppose  $M$  is projective. We prove that there are elements  $m_1, \dots, m_k \in M$  and  $R$ -module homomorphisms  $f_1, \dots, f_k : M \rightarrow R$  such that for all  $m \in M$  we have  $m = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m)m_i$ . Since  $M$  is finitely generated, we know that there are elements  $m_1, \dots, m_k \in M$  (obviously the notation is intended) such that  $M = \langle m_1, \dots, m_k \rangle_R$ . We now define a function from  $Rm_1 + \dots + Rm_k$ , the free left  $R$ -module generated by those elements, to  $M$  by determining where we send the basis:

$$g : \begin{array}{ccc} Rm_1 + \dots + Rm_k & \longrightarrow & M \\ m_i & \longmapsto & m_i \end{array} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Hence we have the exact sequence of left  $R$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(g) \xrightarrow{i} Rm_1 + \dots + Rm_k \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0$$

which splits since  $M$  is projective. This means that there is a splitting, that is, a  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\psi : M \rightarrow Rm_1 + \dots + Rm_k$  such that  $g \circ \psi = \text{id}_M$ . Now, we have that for every  $m \in M$  there are elements  $r_i \in R$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  such that  $m = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i m_i$  hence:

$$\psi(m) = \psi \left( \sum_{i=1}^k r_i m_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \psi(r_i m_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \psi(m_i),$$

where we remark that the sums after the second equality are in  $Rm_1 + \dots + Rm_k$  and thus are only formal. We then define  $f_i : M \rightarrow R$  as  $f_i(m) = r_i$  with the notation above. We have that  $f_i$  is a  $R$ -module homomorphism since for another  $m' \in M$ , say  $\sum_{i=1}^k r'_i m_i$  for certain  $r'_i \in R$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and for an arbitrary  $r \in R$  we have:

- (a)  $\psi(m+m') = \psi(m) + \psi(m') = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \psi(m_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k r'_i \psi(m_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k (r_i + r'_i) \psi(m_i)$   
meaning that  $f_i(m+m') = r_i + r'_i = f_i(m) + f_i(m')$ ,
- (b)  $\psi(rm) = r\psi(m) = r \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \psi(m_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k rr_i \psi(m_i)$  meaning that  $f_i(rm) = rr_i = rf_i(m)$ .

Moreover, we have that  $m = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i m_i = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m)m_i$  by definition of  $f_i$ , hence we obtained what we desired.

2. Suppose that there are elements  $m_1, \dots, m_k \in M$  and  $R$ -module homomorphisms  $f_1, \dots, f_k : M \rightarrow R$  such that for all  $m \in M$  we have  $m = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m)m_i$ . We prove that  $M$  is projective. For this, let  $A, B$  be left  $R$ -modules and let:

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of left  $R$ -modules. We want to find a splitting, that is, a  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\psi : M \rightarrow B$  such that  $g \circ \psi = \text{id}_M$ . Since  $g$  is surjective, there are elements  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in B$  with  $g(\alpha_i) = m_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . We thus define  $\psi(m) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m)\alpha_i$ , which is clearly an element in  $B$ , for an arbitrary  $m \in M$ . We have that  $\psi$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism since for another  $m' \in M$ , say  $\sum_{i=1}^k r'_i m_i$  for certain  $r'_i \in R$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and for an arbitrary  $r \in R$  we have:

- (a)  $\psi(m+m') = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m+m')\alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^k (f_i(m) + f_i(m'))\alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m)\alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m')\alpha_i = \psi(m) + \psi(m')$ ,
- (b)  $\psi(rm) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(rm)\alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^k r f_i(m)\alpha_i = r \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m)\alpha_i = r\psi(m)$ .

Finally, we check that indeed  $g \circ \psi = \text{id}_M$  since:

$$g \circ \psi(m) = g \left( \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m)\alpha_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k g(f_i(m)\alpha_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m)g(\alpha_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(m)m_i = m$$

and thus  $\psi$  is the desired splitting. Since this was done for an arbitrary exact sequence having  $M$  as the third  $R$ -module, we obtain that  $M$  is projective.

### Exercise 3

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be right and left  $R$ -modules respectively for a ring  $R$ .

1. Show that  $M \otimes_R N$  is unique up to unique isomorphism. For this, suppose we have  $(T_1, h_1)$  and  $(T_2, h_2)$  abelian groups satisfying the universal property of the tensor product. Applying the universal property respect to each other with their respective canonical  $R$ -biadditive maps, we obtain the commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \times N & \xrightarrow{h_1} & T_1 \\
 & \searrow h_2 & \downarrow \tilde{h}_2 \\
 & & T_2
 \end{array}
 , \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 M \times N & \xrightarrow{h_2} & T_2 \\
 & \searrow h_1 & \downarrow \tilde{h}_1 \\
 & & T_1
 \end{array}
 ,$$

where  $\tilde{h}_1$  and  $\tilde{h}_2$  are unique with the respective properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{h}_2 \circ h_1 &= h_2 \\
 \tilde{h}_1 \circ h_2 &= h_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_2} & T_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_1} & T_1 \\
 & \searrow h_1 & \uparrow h_2 & \swarrow h_1 & \\
 & & M \times N & & 
 \end{array}
 , \quad
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 T_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_1} & T_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_2} & T_2 \\
 & \searrow h_2 & \uparrow h_1 & \swarrow h_2 & \\
 & & M \times N & & 
 \end{array}
 ,$$

if we are not convinced we can always verify that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{h}_1 \circ \tilde{h}_2 \circ h_1 &= \tilde{h}_1 \circ h_2 = h_1 \\
 \tilde{h}_2 \circ \tilde{h}_1 \circ h_2 &= \tilde{h}_2 \circ h_1 = h_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

However, note that the following diagrams are clearly commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{T_1}} & T_1 \\
 & \searrow h_1 & \swarrow h_1 \\
 & & M \times N
 \end{array}
 , \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 T_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{T_2}} & T_2 \\
 & \searrow h_2 & \swarrow h_2 \\
 & & M \times N
 \end{array}
 ,$$

hence by the uniqueness of the morphisms that extend to the tensor product, we have that:

$$\tilde{h}_1 \circ \tilde{h}_2 = \text{id}_{T_1}, \quad \tilde{h}_2 \circ \tilde{h}_1 = \text{id}_{T_2}$$

thus  $T_1 \cong T_2$  as abelian groups, and such an isomorphism is unique by the uniqueness of  $\tilde{h}_1$  and  $\tilde{h}_2$ .

2. Suppose  $R$  is commutative and  $M, N$  are finitely generated as  $R$ -modules. Show that  $M \otimes_R N$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module and determine a generating set. First, suppose  $M = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \rangle_R$  and  $N = \langle \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \rangle_R$ . Then any pure tensor  $m \otimes n \in M \otimes_R N$  can be written as:

$$m \otimes n = (r_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + r_m \alpha_m) \otimes (s_1 \beta_1 + \dots + s_n \beta_n) = \sum_{i,j} (r_i s_j) (\alpha_i \otimes \beta_j)$$

for certain  $r_1, \dots, r_m, s_1, \dots, s_n \in R$  (we have heavily used that  $R$  is commutative to be able to multiply by the scalars outside the pure tensors). Now, since any element in  $M \otimes_R N$  is a finite sum of pure tensors (maybe multiplied by some scalars in  $R$ ), and the pure tensors are a (finite) sum of the form above, we obtain that the set  $\{\alpha_i \otimes \beta_j : i = 1, \dots, m \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, n\}$  generates  $M \otimes_R N$ . Since  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$  are finite, the generating set is finite and thus  $M \otimes_R N$  is finitely generated.

## Exercise 4

1. For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , show that  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , and determine such  $t$ . We claim that  $t = \gcd(m, n)$ . To prove such an isomorphism, consider the map:

$$\psi : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z} \\ (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \longmapsto \overline{ab}.$$

First, note that  $\psi$  is well defined since if  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = (\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ , that is  $a = p + nk$  and  $b = q + ms$  for some  $k, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then:

$$\psi(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \overline{ab} = \overline{(p + nk)(q + ms)} = \overline{pq} + \overline{pms} + \overline{qnk} + \overline{nkms} = \overline{pq} = \psi(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$$

since  $\overline{m} = \overline{n} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}$  because  $t$  divides  $m$  and  $n$ . Moreover, notice that  $\psi$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -biadditive since for  $r, a, a_1, a_2, b, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have:

- (a)  $\psi(\overline{a_1 + a_2}, \bar{b}) = \overline{(a_1 + a_2)b} = \overline{a_1b} + \overline{a_2b} = \psi(\bar{a}_1, \bar{b}) + \psi(\bar{a}_2, \bar{b})$ ,
- (b)  $\psi(\bar{a}, \overline{b_1 + b_2}) = \overline{a(b_1 + b_2)} = \overline{ab_1} + \overline{ab_2} = \psi(\bar{a}, \bar{b}_1) + \psi(\bar{a}, \bar{b}_2)$ ,
- (c)  $\psi(\overline{ra}, \bar{b}) = \overline{rab} = \overline{arb} = \psi(\bar{a}, \overline{rb}) = \psi(\bar{a}, r\bar{b})$  and  $r\psi(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \overline{rab} = \overline{rab}$ , thus  $r\psi(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \psi(r\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \psi(\bar{a}, r\bar{b})$ .

This means that  $\psi$  induces by the universal property of the tensor product a morphism of abelian groups  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\phi(\bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) = \psi(\bar{1}, \bar{1}) = \bar{1}$ . Clearly  $\bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}$  generates  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  since for  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  any pure tensor  $\bar{a} \otimes \bar{b} = (ab)(\bar{1} \otimes \bar{1})$ . Now, notice that:

$$m(\bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) = \overline{m} \otimes \bar{1} = \bar{0} \otimes \bar{1} = 0, \\ n(\bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) = \bar{1} \otimes \overline{n} = \bar{1} \otimes \bar{0} = 0,$$

hence the order of  $\bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  divides  $m$  and  $n$ , thus it must divide  $\gcd(m, n) = t$  (in particular since the order is positive, it is less than or equal to  $t$ ). Moreover for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  with  $k < t$ :

$$\phi(k(\bar{1} \otimes \bar{1})) = \phi(\overline{k} \otimes \bar{1}) = \phi(\overline{k}, \bar{1}) = \overline{k},$$

which is different than  $\bar{0}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}$  because  $k < t$ . Hence since  $\phi$  is an abelian group homomorphism, we must have  $k(\bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) \neq \bar{0}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and thus the order of  $\bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}$  must be greater or equal to  $t$ . Combining these two conditions we obtain that the order of  $\bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}$  is exactly  $t$ , hence  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of abelian groups.

2. We first show that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$  vector space. For this, we define the map:

$$\psi : \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ \left( \frac{a_1}{b_1}, \frac{a_2}{b_2} \right) \longmapsto \frac{a_1 a_2}{b_1 b_2}.$$

Notice that  $\psi$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -biadditive since for  $p, q, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have:



- (a)  $\psi(a_1/b_1 + a_2/b_2, p/q) = \psi((a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)/(b_1b_2), p/q) = (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)p/(b_1b_2q) = ((a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)/(b_1b_2))(p/q) = (a_1/b_1 + a_2/b_2)(p/q) = (a_1/b_1)(p/q) + (a_2/b_2)(p/q) = a_1p/b_1q + a_2p/b_2q = \psi(a_1/b_1, p/q) + \psi(a_2/b_2, p/q),$
- (b)  $\psi(p/q, a_1/b_1 + a_2/b_2) = \psi(p/q, (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)/(b_1b_2)) = p(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)/(qb_1b_2) = (p/q)((a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)/(b_1b_2)) = (p/q)(a_1/b_1 + a_2/b_2) = (p/q)(a_1/b_1) + (p/q)(a_2/b_2) = pa_1/qb_1 + pa_2/qb_2 = \psi(p/q, a_1/b_1) + \psi(p/q, a_2/b_2),$
- (c)  $\psi(p(a_1/b_1), a_2/b_2) = \psi(pa_1/b_1, a_2/b_2) = pa_1a_2/b_1b_2 = a_1pa_2/b_1b_2 = \psi(a_1/b_1, pa_2/b_2) = \psi(a_1/b_1, p(a_2/b_2))$  and  $p\psi(a_1/b_1, a_2/b_2) = p(a_1a_2/b_1b_2) = pa_1a_2/b_1b_2$ , thus  $p\psi(a_1/b_1, a_2/b_2) = \psi(p(a_1/b_1), a_2/b_2) = \psi(a_1/b_1, p(a_2/b_2)).$

This means that  $\psi$  induces by the universal property of the tensor product a morphism of abelian groups  $\phi : \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\phi(1 \otimes 1) = \psi(1, 1) = \bar{1}$ . We first want to define a multiplication by scalars on  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ , which we do by means of the pure tensors as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \\ \left( \frac{p}{q}, \frac{a_1}{b_1} \otimes \frac{a_2}{b_2} \right) &\longmapsto \frac{pa_1}{qb_1} \otimes \frac{a_2}{b_2} \end{aligned}$$

and we extend to  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  by linearity. The axioms of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  being a  $\mathbb{Q}$  module with the multiplication above follow from the linearity on the second component (requested by definition) and the properties of the multiplication in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Notice how for  $p, q, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have:

$$\frac{pa_1}{qb_1} \otimes \frac{a_2}{b_2} = \frac{a_1}{qb_1} \otimes \frac{pa_2}{b_2} = \frac{a_1}{qb_1} \otimes \frac{qpa_2}{qb_2} = \frac{qa_1}{qb_1} \otimes \frac{pa_2}{qb_2} = \frac{a_1}{b_1} \otimes \frac{pa_2}{qb_2}.$$

This means that as a  $\mathbb{Q}$  module,  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is generated by  $1 \otimes 1$  since for  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $(a_1/b_1) \otimes (a_2/b_2) = (a_1a_2/b_1b_2) \otimes 1 = (a_1a_2/b_1b_2)(1 \otimes 1)$ , and hence for finite sums of pure tensors we can always reduce to  $1 \otimes 1$  multiplied by a scalar in  $\mathbb{Q}$  (in particular every element in  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is a pure tensor). Now, we can prove that  $\phi$  is a linear map that is a bijection (we can reduce us by the above to the case where we only deal with pure tensors):

- (a)  $\phi$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$  linear since it is already a group homomorphism by the universal property of the tensor product that defined it, and for  $a, b, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \left( \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) \left( \frac{a_1}{b_1} \otimes \frac{a_2}{b_2} \right) \right) &= \phi \left( \frac{aa_1}{bb_1} \otimes \frac{a_2}{b_2} \right) = \psi \left( \frac{aa_1}{bb_1}, \frac{a_2}{b_2} \right) = \frac{aa_1a_2}{bb_1b_2} \\ &= \frac{a}{b} \frac{a_1a_2}{b_1b_2} = \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) \psi \left( \frac{a_1}{b_1}, \frac{a_2}{b_2} \right) = \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) \phi \left( \frac{a_1}{b_1} \otimes \frac{a_2}{b_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- (b)  $\phi$  is injective: for  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$  we have  $\phi((a_1/b_1) \otimes (a_2/b_2)) = 0$ , this means  $(a_1a_2)/(b_1b_2) = 0$  hence  $a_1a_2 = 0$  and thus  $a_1 = 0$  or  $a_2 = 0$ , meaning that  $a_1/b_1 = 0$  or  $a_2/b_2 = 0$ , in either case  $(a_1/b_1) \otimes (a_2/b_2) = 0$ , thus the kernel is trivial.

(c)  $\phi$  is surjective: for  $a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$  we have  $\phi((a/b) \otimes 1) = \psi(a/b, 1) = a/b$ .

Hence indeed  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$  vector spaces and  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}$ .

We now prove that  $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \not\cong \mathbb{R}$ . In an analogous way as we proved above, we have that  $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$  vector space via:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \\ (r, r_1 \otimes r_2) &\longmapsto (rr_1) \otimes r_2 \end{aligned}$$

and we extend to  $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  by linearity. We know that  $\mathbb{R}$  is an infinite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$  vector space, consider  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  a  $\mathbb{Q}$  basis for  $\mathbb{R}$ . We claim that  $\{1 \otimes \alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$  basis for  $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ . First, it generates the pure tensors (hence it generates the whole space) since for  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  we have:

$$r \otimes s = r \otimes \left( \sum_{i \in I} q_i \alpha_i \right) = \sum_{i \in I} r \otimes (q_i \alpha_i) = \sum_{i \in I} (q_i r) \otimes \alpha_i = \sum_{i \in I} (rq_i)(1 \otimes \alpha_i)$$

for some  $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  for  $i \in I$  (all zero but a finite number, thus the sum is finite). Second, to see that they are linearly independent, we are interested in the isomorphisms  $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Q} \alpha_i \right) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} (\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \alpha_i)$ , whose composition sends (in the notation above) a pure tensor  $r \otimes s$  to its coordinates  $(rq_i)_{i \in I}$ . Thus when we have that a (finite) linear combination of  $\{1 \otimes \alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  is zero in  $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ , via the isomorphisms we have that in the direct sum all the coordinates are zero, hence all the coefficients that multiplied  $\{1 \otimes \alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  must have been zero already.

Thus, we found that  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}) > 1$ , but  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ , thus we cannot have that  $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are isomorphic because their bases have different cardinality, hence  $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \not\cong \mathbb{R}$  as desired.

## Exercise 5

Let  $R$  be commutative,  $M, N, P$  be  $R$ -modules. We want to show that  $\text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))$ . For this, we will build two morphisms of  $R$ -modules  $\psi : \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))$  and  $\phi : \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P)$  such that  $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P)}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))}$ .

We start by letting  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P)$ , say:

$$\begin{aligned} f & : M \otimes_R N & \longrightarrow & P \\ & m \otimes n & \longmapsto & f(m \otimes n) \end{aligned}$$

then we define:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi & : \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P)) \\ & f & \longmapsto & \psi(f) \end{aligned}$$

by setting for  $m \in M$  the function  $\psi(f)(m) : N \longrightarrow P$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(f)(m) & : N & \longrightarrow & P \\ & n & \longmapsto & f(m \otimes n) \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\psi(f)(m)(n) = f(m \otimes n)$ . To verify that this is well defined, we need that  $\psi(f)(m) \in \text{Hom}_R(N, P)$  and  $\psi(f) \in \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))$ . Let  $n, n_1, n_2 \in N$  and  $r \in R$ , we have:

1.  $\psi(f)(m)(n_1 + n_2) = f(m \otimes (n_1 + n_2)) = f(m \otimes n_1 + m \otimes n_2) = f(m \otimes n_1) + f(m \otimes n_2) = \psi(f)(m)(n_1) + \psi(f)(m)(n_2)$ ,
2.  $\psi(f)(m)(rn) = f(m \otimes (rn)) = f(r(m \otimes n)) = rf(m \otimes n) = r\psi(f)(m)(n)$ ,

where we heavily used that  $f$  is a morphism of  $R$ -modules, hence  $\psi(f)(m) \in \text{Hom}_R(N, P)$ . Let  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ , we have:

1.  $\psi(f)(m_1 + m_2)(n) = f((m_1 + m_2) \otimes n) = f(m_1 \otimes n + m_2 \otimes n) = f(m_1 \otimes n) + f(m_2 \otimes n) = \psi(f)(m_1)(n) + \psi(f)(m_2)(n)$ ,
2.  $\psi(f)(rm)(n) = f((rm) \otimes n) = f(r(m \otimes n)) = rf(m \otimes n) = r\psi(f)(m)(n)$ ,

where we again used that  $f$  is a morphism of  $R$ -modules, hence  $\psi(f) \in \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))$ . Thus,  $\psi$  is well defined. We now prove that  $\psi$  is an  $R$ -module morphism, let  $f, f_1, f_2 \in \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P)$ , we have:

1.  $\psi(f_1 + f_2)(m)(n) = (f_1 + f_2)(m \otimes n) = f_1(m \otimes n) + f_2(m \otimes n) = \psi(f_1)(m)(n) + \psi(f_2)(m)(n)$ ,
2.  $\psi(rf)(m)(n) = (rf)(m \otimes n) = f(r(m \otimes n)) = rf(m \otimes n) = r\psi(f)(m)(n)$ ,

where we rely on  $f$  being a morphism of  $R$ -modules, hence  $\psi$  is as desired.

Now, we continue by letting  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))$ , say:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &: M \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, P) \\ m &\longmapsto \alpha(m) \end{aligned}$$

then we define:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha} &: M \times N \longrightarrow P \\ (m, n) &\longmapsto \alpha(m)(n) \end{aligned}$$

which is  $R$ -biadditive since for  $r \in R$ ,  $m, m' \in M$ ,  $n, n' \in N$  we have:

1.  $\tilde{\alpha}(m + m', n) = \alpha(m + m')(n) = (\alpha(m) + \alpha(m'))(n) = \alpha(m)(n) + \alpha(m')(n) = \tilde{\alpha}(m, n) + \tilde{\alpha}(m', n)$  since  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))$ ,
2.  $\tilde{\alpha}(m, n + n') = \alpha(m)(n + n') = \alpha(m)(n) + \alpha(m)(n') = \tilde{\alpha}(m, n) + \tilde{\alpha}(m, n')$  since  $\alpha(m) \in \text{Hom}_R(N, P)$ ,
3.  $\tilde{\alpha}(rm, n) = \alpha(rm)(n) = r\alpha(m)(n) = r\tilde{\alpha}(m, n)$  since  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}(m, rn) = \alpha(m)(rn) = r\alpha(m)(n) = r\tilde{\alpha}(m, n)$  since  $\alpha(m) \in \text{Hom}_R(N, P)$ , hence  $\tilde{\alpha}(rm, n) = r\tilde{\alpha}(m, n) = \tilde{\alpha}(m, rn)$ .

This means that by the universal property of the tensor product, there is a unique group homomorphism, that we name  $\phi(\alpha)$ , such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{h} & M \otimes_R N, \\ & \searrow \tilde{\alpha} & \downarrow \phi(\alpha) \\ & & P \end{array}$$

that is  $\tilde{\alpha} = \phi(\alpha) \circ h$ , otherwise said, over the pure tensors  $m \otimes n \in M \otimes_R N$  we have  $\phi(\alpha)(m \otimes n) = \tilde{\alpha}(m, n) = \alpha(m)(n)$ . Then we define:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &: \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P) \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \phi(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

by setting  $\phi(\alpha)$  as above. To verify that this is well defined, we need that  $\phi(\alpha) \in \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P)$ . Let  $m \otimes n \in M \otimes_R N$ , we have:

1. Since  $\phi(\alpha)$  is a group homomorphism, we already know that behaves as desired when applied to a sum of pure tensors.
2.  $\phi(\alpha)(r(m \otimes n)) = \phi(\alpha)((rm) \otimes n) = \alpha(rm)(n) = r\alpha(m)(n) = r\phi(\alpha)(m \otimes n)$ ,

where we used that  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))$ , hence  $\phi(\alpha) \in \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P)$  and thus  $\phi$  is well defined. We now prove that  $\phi$  is an  $R$ -module morphism, let  $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))$ , we have:

1.  $\phi(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(m \otimes n) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(m)(n) = \alpha_1(m)(n) + \alpha_2(m)(n) = \phi(\alpha_1)(m \otimes n) + \phi(\alpha_2)(m \otimes n),$
2.  $\phi(r\alpha)(m \otimes n) = (r\alpha)(m)(n) = \alpha(rm)(n) = r\alpha(m)(n) = r\phi(\alpha)(m \otimes n),$

where we rely on  $\alpha$  being a morphism of  $R$ -modules, hence  $\phi$  is as desired.

Finally, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi \circ \psi(f)(m \otimes n) &= \psi(f)(m)(n) = f(m \otimes n) = \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P)}(f)(m \otimes n) \\ \psi \circ \phi(\alpha)(m)(n) &= \phi(\alpha)(m \otimes n) = \alpha(m)(n) = \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))}(\alpha)(m)(n)\end{aligned}$$

hence indeed  $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P)}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))}$ , and  $\text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, P) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(N, P))$ .